ASIAN JOURNAL OF PHARMACEUTICAL AND CLINICAL RESEARCH



# SCREENING OF ACTIVE ANTIMICROBIAL AND BIOLOGICAL ENZYMES OF MICROBIAL ISOLATED FROM SOIL IN THAILAND

# PANNAPA POWTHONG<sup>1\*</sup>, APICHAI SRIPEAN<sup>1</sup>, PATTRA SUNTORNTHITICHAROEN<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Medical Technology, Rangsit University, Pathum Thani 12000, Thailand. <sup>2</sup>Department of Medical Sciences, Faculty of Science, Rangsit University, Pathum Thani 12000, Thailand. Email: Pannapa\_pt@yahoo.com

#### Received: 30 September 2016, Revised and Accepted: 18 January 2017

# ABSTRACT

Objective: The objectives of this study were to isolate microorganisms and screen for potential antimicrobial activities from the soil.

**Methods:** In this study, a total of 425 isolates were isolated from 100 soil samples. The preliminary screening for antimicrobial activities of these isolates was performed by modified cross-streak, agar diffusion, and modified microdilution technique against 16 pathogenic bacteria and fungi.

**Results:** In the anti-microbial activity, there were three isolates, namely, 277, 303, and 307 exhibited inhibitory activity against methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Salmonella typhimurium* respectively. This study also examined the various enzymes producing from soil microorganisms including chitinase, chitosanase, amylase, cellulose, caseinase, gelatinase, esterase, and lipase production of different selective media for 24 and 48 hrs using the direct spot method. The results revealed that 28 isolates could produce various enzymes with strong activity. Most of them produced gelatinase (5.65%) and caseinase (5.18%). There were four isolates that produce broad-spectrum enzyme. In addition, the investigation of selected microorganism identification showed that they can be divided into three groups: *Burkholderia* spp., *Pseudomonas* spp., and *Rhodococcus* spp.

Conclusion: This study demonstrated that the microorganisms from soil are capable of producing potential, antibacterial, and bioactive enzymes.

Keywords: Antimicrobial activity, Extracellular enzyme, Soil microbial, Drug-resistant bacteria.

© 2017 The Authors. Published by Innovare Academic Sciences Pvt Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons. org/licenses/by/4.0/) DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.22159/ajpcr.2017.v10i4.15454

#### INTRODUCTION

Most bacteria can be product many microbial defense systems, including broad-spectrum classical antibiotics, metabolic by-products such as organic acids, and lytic agents such as lysozyme. This biological product is remarkable in its diversity and natural abundance. Some substances are restricted to some bacterial groups while others are widespread produced [1]. Due to the emergence of new infectious diseases and antibiotic resistances in infectious microbial strains that represent a serious problem for human life by making it very serious problem in the treatment of pathogenic microbes [2,3], the need for new therapeutic agents through natural, synthetic, or semisynthetic sources is still urgent.

Nowadays, the majority of industrial enzymes used come from a microbial origin and have hydrolytic activity with quite diverse potential applications in different areas. Microbial enzymes are relatively more stable and have properties more diverse than other enzymes derived from plants and animals [4]. Screening of microorganisms from natural environments for enzyme production has already been published, especially in unconventional and extreme environments [5-8].

Soil represents a promising habitat for discovering and isolating new natural products [9] and as <1% of soil bacterial species are currently known [10]. Discovery of new antibiotic agents and bioactive enzymes in natural environments such as soil involving all the cultivable microorganisms in these habitats is still missing.

Thailand is an investigated biological system which is situated in the tropical rainforest range. This is a result of tropical zones giving a great environment to development and is an extraordinarily assorted quality of living beings. Therefore, it may be a source of new microorganisms producing new compounds.

In an ongoing work on natural products from microorganisms and due to our interest in isolating soil bacterial strains with the ability to produce biologically active metabolites, the present work, an attempt to screen bacterial strain isolated from different soil of Thailand and assess their antimicrobial activity as well as enzyme production capacity, has been established.

#### **METHODS**

#### Isolation of microbial from soil

One hundred soil samples were gathered from different parts of rural range in Thailand. As per topographical assortment, six sections of Thailand were gathered. There were 35 samples from the southern section, 27 samples from the northeast section, 18 samples from the central section, 8 samples from the eastern section, 7 samples from the northern part, and 5 samples from the western part. Microorganisms from the examples were confined by the dilution plate technique. Soil tests were serially 10-dilution. A volume of 100 µl from serial dilution of  $10^{-3}$ – $10^{-5}$  was pipetted and spread on basal mineral salt agar (BSA) by spread plate technique and incubated at room temperature for 24-48 hrs. Single colonies were chosen from plate which delivered 30-300 colonies for each plate and streaked on BSA and identified. In the identification tests of strains, colony morphology and Gram strains were analyzed. The pure culture was kept up in BSA, put away at 4°C, and initiated in the same media for 24 hrs before test use.

# Test microbial pathogen

Test bacterial pathogen used in this experiment was received from the Department of Medical Sciences, Faculty of Science, Rangsit University. It was composed of 14 strains of pathogenic bacteria: *Escherichia coli* extended-spectrum beta-lactamases, *Klebsiella pneumoniae, Salmonella typhimurium*, methicillin-sensitive *Staphylococcus aureus* (MSSA) (ATCC25923), and 10 strains of methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MSSA); MRSA 4, MRSA 5, MRSA 6, MRSA 14, MRSA 18, MRSA 28, MRSA 29, MRSA 31, MRSA 34, and MRSA 36. Test fungi pathogens were composed of two strains of pathogenic fungi: *Candida albicans* and *Cryptococcus neoformans*.

### Preliminary antimicrobial assay

Determinations of the antimicrobial activities of pure bacterial cultures were modified according to the cross-streak method from Fernando [11]. In brief, the Mueller–Hinton agar (MHA) was inoculated with 24 hrs pure isolate cultures by a single streak in the center of the plate and incubated at room temperature for 2 days.

The plates were seeded with test pathogen by streaking perpendicular to the line of selected bacterial growth. The cross-streak method was done by keeping the distance between the test pathogen streaks fixed and kept for 7 days. Antagonism was observed based on the inhibitory interaction between the selected bacterial growth, and test strains were measured in millimeter using a scale. The experiment was carried out in triplicates.

#### Semi-quantitative antimicrobial assay

Antimicrobial activity was evaluated by agar well diffusion method. Isolated colonies of the tested bacteria or yeast pathogens were suspended in sterile 0.85% NaCl solution and the turbidity was measured using spectrophotometry (optical density [OD]=0.08-0.1 at 625 nm for bacteria and OD=0.12-0.15 at 530 nm for yeast). A volume of 100  $\mu$ l of test organism was spread over the surface of the MHA using a 3-way swab. Six millimeter diameter wells in agar were made using a sterile cork borer. Isolated colony of antibiotic-producing bacteria was suspended in a sterile nutrient broth and the turbidity was measured using spectrophotometry (OD=0.08-0.1 at 625 nm). An aliquot of 20  $\mu$ l of antibiotic-producing bacterial suspension was added to each well. Nutrient broth was used as negative controls. The plates were incubated at 35±2°C for 24 hrs for test bacteria pathogen and *C. albicans* and 48 hrs for *C. neoformans*. The diameter of inhibition zone around the well was measured using a scale.

#### Preparation of extracellular filtration

Isolated colony of each antibiotic-producing bacteria was suspended in sterile 0.85% NaCl solution and standardized by measuring spectrophotometry turbidity (OD=0.08-0.1 at 625 nm). Then, 5% (v/v) of this standardized inoculum was inoculated into 15 ml centrifuge tube containing 5 ml of nutrient broth and incubated at room temperature on static condition for 2 days. Next, the culture medium was centrifuged at 5000 g at 4°C for 10 minutes. The supernatant was gathered and filtered by 0.45  $\mu$ m utilized as an extracellular filtration for quantitative screening of antibiotic-producing bacteria.

#### Determination of the quantitative antimicrobial assay

The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) of the extracellular filtration were determined using the standard broth microdilution method and as recommended by the NCCLS and CLSI methodologies [12-14] with a few modifications. The serial 2-fold dilutions were made in a concentration ranged from undiluted to 1:512. The test was done in a 96-well plate by 50 µl of test sample combined with 50 µl of standardized tested bacteria or fungi suspension containing 1 × 106 CFU/ml was added into each well. Gentamicin and ketoconazole (initial concentration 1000 µg/ml) were used as positive control for bacteria and fungi, respectively. Mueller-Hinton broth was used as a negative control. Microtiter plates were incubated at 37°C, 24 hrs. After the incubation period, 10 µl of the 0.18% sterile resazurin indicator solution was added to each well according to modified resazurin microtiter plate assay. The positive result was blue or purple color (oxidized form). The negative result was purple to pink or colorless (reduced form). The lowest concentration at which the blue or purple color occurred was taken as the MIC value. All tests were carried out in triplicate.

The MBC was determined by inoculating 1 loop of the sample from wells that showed no apparent growth from the MIC assays onto MHA plates and incubated at 37°C, 24 hrs. The plates were examined for growth or lack of growth for each dilution subculturing. The lowest concentration showing no visible growth on agar subculture was taken as MBC value.

#### Extracellular enzyme production of selected bacterial strains

The productions of the following enzymatic activities were evaluated: Amylolytic activity (starch hydrolysis), esterase activity, lipolytic activity, proteolytic activity (protease, gelatinase), cellulolytic activity, chitinase, and chitosanase by the spot agar method. The enzymatic activities were investigated after inoculated colony within 2-14 day incubation on the specific culture media, according to specific methodologies for each investigated enzyme. All assays were done in triplicate.

For the detection of amylolytic, activity was applied from Hankin and Anagnostakis [15]. The isolates were heavily inoculated in the basal mineral salts medium (BMSM) with 0.01% of soluble starch, pH 6.0. After incubation at 24 and 48 hrs, the cultures were treated under Lugol's iodine solution, which allowed the visualization of clear halos around the colonies.

To verify the esterase and lipolytic activity, the isolates were heavily inoculated in the BMSM containing 1% (v/v) Tween 80 for esterase and 1% (v/v) Tween 20 for lipolytic activity, respectively, together with 0.1 g/L CaCl<sub>2</sub> and 0.1 g/L phenol red. The esterase and lipolytic activity was detected by the presence of clear halos around strain growth after 24 and 48 hrs of incubation.

For the detection of the casein hydrolysis and gelatin hydrolysis, the isolates were heavily inoculated in the BMSM containing skim milk (10 g/L) for casein hydrolysis and bacteriological gelatin (4.0 g/L) for gelatin hydrolysis. The casein hydrolysis-producing isolate showed a clear halo around the bacterial colony after 2 ml of 0.1 mol/L hydrochloric acid (HCl) was added to the plate. Gelatin hydrolysis-producing isolates demonstrated a clear halo around strain growth after covering with Frazier's revealers (distilled water 100 ml, HCl 20.0 ml, and mercury dichloride 15.0 g) after 24 and 48 hrs of incubation [16].

To verify the cellulolytic activity, the isolates were heavily inoculated in the BMSM-containing carboxymethyl cellulose (10 g/L). After incubation at 30°C for 24-48 hrs, the presence of clear halos around the colonies was observed after covering with 0.2% Congo red and destained with 1 M NaCl for 15 minutes [17].

For the detection of the chitinase and chitosanase activity, the isolates were heavily inoculated in the BMSM-containing colloidal chitin (10 g/L) for chitinase activity and colloidal chitosan (10 g/L) for chitosanase activity. The detection of chitinase- and chitosanase producing isolates was observed in the presence of halos observed after incubation at  $30^{\circ}$ C for 2-14 days.

#### Statistical analysis

All experimental results were carried out in triplicate and were expressed as an average of three analyses±standard deviation using the SPSS version 22.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The expanding recurrence of multi-resistant pathogenic bacteria is made an urgent demand in the modern world for additional methodologies and strategies to the screening of new antibiotic agents with a broad spectrum of activity that can oppose the inactivation processes exploited by microbial enzymes [18,19]. It is necessary to search new microbes and novel metabolites to counter the dangers postured by the fast-emerging phenomenon of antibiotic resistance.

In the present work, the potential of microbial isolates from soil samples for extracellular antibiotic and enzyme production was characterized. Of the 100 soil samples analyzed, 477 isolates were obtained, including bacteria and fungi. Among the isolates, 13 isolates (2.73%) exhibited inhibitory activity against at least 6 of the tested microbial pathogens; MRSA, MSSA, C. albicans, and C. neoformans in modified cross-streak method. The spectra of inhibition varied among the isolated bacteria. As observed in Table 1, isolates 17, 191, and 225 were the most efficient isolates which can inhibit 13 isolates of the tested microbial pathogen

			<b>^</b>							
		MRSA4	<b>MRSA5</b>	MRSA6	MRSA14	MRSA18	MRSA28	MRSA29	MRSA31	Total inhibition
	10	9.0±1.1		11.5±2.2	$11.5\pm0.1$	5.0±1.4	11.0±1.4	1.5±0.2		10
	17	$11.0\pm 1.4$	$11.5\pm0.7$	$10.0\pm 1.4$	$15.5\pm0.1$	$17.0\pm 1.4$	$14.5\pm 1.1$	$14.0\pm 1.1$	$14.0\pm 1.8$	13
3	38	$10.5\pm0.1$	$10.0\pm 1.1$	$13.5\pm 2.1$	$15\pm 1.4$	$15.5\pm0.1$	$15.0\pm0.1$	$13.5\pm0.7$	9.0∓0.6	12
4	69	$7.5\pm0.7$	$5.0\pm 1.4$	$6.0\pm1.1$		$9.0\pm 1.4$	$4.5\pm 1.1$	$9.0\pm1.3$	8.5±1.2	10
5	70	$9.0\pm 1.4$	$9.5\pm0.1$	$14.0\pm 1.2$	$18\pm 1.1$	$9.5\pm0.1$	$14.0\pm0.7$	$7.0\pm1.5$	$12.0\pm1.3$	11
	191	$11.0\pm 1.2$	$10.5\pm 2.2$	$10.5\pm0.7$	$15.5\pm0.7$	$17.0\pm1.1$	$14.5\pm 1.4$	$14.0\pm 1.4$	$14.5\pm 1.4$	13
7	225	$10.5\pm0.7$	$9.5\pm 2.1$	$13.5\pm 2.1$	$15.0\pm1.1$	$15.0\pm 1.4$	$14.0\pm 1.4$	$13.0\pm 1.1$	$9.5\pm1.1$	13
8	277	$7.0\pm 1.4$	$5.5\pm0.7$	$6.0\pm 1.4$		$9.5\pm0.1$	$4.0\pm0.1$	$9.5\pm0.1$	8.5±01	10
6	278	$9.5\pm0.1$	$9.0 \pm 1.1$	$14.0\pm 1.4$	$17.5\pm 2.1$	8.5±2.2	$14.5\pm 2.1$	$7.0\pm1.4$	$12.5\pm1.1$	11
10	303	$8.5\pm 2.1$		$12\pm 1.41$	$11\pm 1.1$	$5.5\pm0.1$	$11.0\pm0.1$	$1.5\pm0.7$		6
11	307	$6.0\pm 1.0$			$5.0\pm0.0$		$7.0\pm 1.4$	$5.5\pm 2.12$		6
12	367		$6.0 \pm 1.4$					$9.5\pm0.71$	$12.0\pm0.1$	7
13	401		$9.0 \pm 1.3$	8.5±2.1	$6.5\pm 2.1$			ı		9
		Inhibition <b>z</b>	one of antimic	Inhibition zone of antimicrobial activity at 48	at 48 hrs (mean±SD, mm)					
		MRSA34	MRSA36	E. coli (ESBL)	S. typhimurium	K. pneumoniae	MSSA (ATCC 25923)	C. albicans	C. neoformans	
	10	9.5±0.1	2.5±0.3	.	9.0±1.4				14.0±1.1	10
	17	$15.0\pm0.7$	$19.0\pm 1.4$	$4.5\pm0.6$	4.5±0.2				$21.0\pm 1.4$	13
	38	$19.0\pm 1.4$	$7.5\pm0.1$	$5.5\pm0.2$			I	ı	$21.5\pm0.7$	12
4	69	$6.0\pm 1.3$		$5.0\pm1.3$			ŗ		$12.5\pm0.1$	10
S	70	$12.0\pm 1.4$	$4.5\pm0.7$						$19.0\pm 1.2$	11
	191	$14.0\pm 1.1$	$19.0\pm 1.2$	$4.0\pm 1.4$	$4.0\pm1.41$				$21.0\pm 1.6$	13
7	225	$19.0\pm 1.4$	$7.0\pm1.3$	5.5±0.7	$4.0\pm 1.4$				$20.5\pm2.1$	13
	277	$6.5\pm0.1$	ı	$5.0\pm1.1$					$12.0\pm1.5$	10
6	278	12.0±1.	$4.0\pm 1.1$				,		$19.0\pm 1.1$	11
10	303	$1 \\ 9.0\pm 1.1$	$2.5\pm0.1$				,		$14.5\pm0.1$	6
11	307	ı	$9.0\pm 1.41$	$4.5\pm0.1$	22.0±7.8	7.5±0.1			$24.0\pm 6.3$	6
12	367	ı	$16\pm 1.4$		$9\pm 1.1$		$6\pm 1.41$		$14.5\pm 2.2$	7
3	401	ı	ı	·	6.5±2.2	9.5±3.4		ı	20.0±2.3	9

Table 1: Antimicrobial activity of the microbial strains against test microbial pathogen at 48 hrs by modified cross-streak method

Powthong et al.

(Table 1). It was noticed that the spectra of inhibition varied among the isolated bacteria. Agar well diffusion method was used to assess the production of antimicrobial compounds by bacteria isolated from soil samples against 16 pathogenic strains of the tested microbial pathogen. Of the 13 isolates tested, 6 isolates were found to exhibit antibacterial activity against as least 1 tested microbial pathogen (Table 2).

As shown in Table 3, the MIC and MBC values of the extracellular filtration were evaluated by the broth microdilution method. MIC and MBC values for isolates 303 have shown inhibition against MRSA 29 (dilution 1:512) and (dilution 1: 256) while other isolates (191, 225, 277, 278, and 307) shown MIC against MRSA 36, MRSA 29, MRSA 28, and *S. typhimurium* with undiluted filtrated and no MBC (Table 3).

It indicates that antibiotic-producing bacteria which we isolated have more ability to inhibit the growth of most MRSA and only 1 stain of *S. typhimurium* even we use only non-concentrated extracellular filtration. This is because Gram-negative bacteria usually have better protection to other antimicrobial compound rather than Grampositive bacteria because both kinds of bacteria have different cell wall components. The cell wall of Gram-negative bacteria contains peptidoglycan while cell wall of Gram-negative bacteria contains peptidoglycan and lipopolysacaride. Zuhud *et al.* [20] and Ajizah *et al.* [21] stated that the cell walls of Gram-positive bacteria contain very thick peptidoglycan to protect the bacteria. Moreover, cell walls of Gramnegative bacteria, besides peptidoglycan, also contain lipopolyssacaride to protect the bacteria from antibiotics [22].

Even if some isolates have only MIC but not have MBC, it leads to the conclusion that even the bioactive compounds from extracellular filtration are diffuse throughout the medium. There may be a small amount of these compounds which are not enough to inhibit the growth of microbial pathogen when diluted and compared to the commercial drug. It may relate to external factors such as the incubation period,

amount of antibiotic-producing bacteria cell biomass, temperature, and amount of microbial pathogen biomass. There is a need to test the bioactive compound extraction when using the suitable solvent extracting in this case.

Although these bacteria were not yet identified to the species level, morphological and biochemical characteristics indicate that they belong to the genus *Burkholderia* spp. (2 isolates; isolate 191, and 278), *Pseudomonas* spp. (3 isolates; isolate 225, 277, and 303), and *Rhodococcus* spp. (1 isolates; isolate 307).

This outcome was correlated with the result of Mashoria *et al.* that isolated bacteria from soil and tested for antimicrobial activities to the seven pathogenic bacteria stains (*Salmonella typhi, Serratia ficaris, Streptococcus faecalis, Pseudomonas vesicularis, Staphylococcus cohni, E. coli,* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*). It was found that only one stain was effective and characterized as *Pseudomonas* spp. [23]. Moreover, Kaur *et al.* revealed that two microorganisms isolated from soil in Punjab, India, can inhibit the growth of *S. aureus* and *Proteus vulgaris* indicator strains verified by the disc diffusion method, agar well method, and streak agar method [24].

The importance of the microorganisms in enzyme production has been an area of constant research due to their high production capability, low cost, and susceptibility to genetic manipulation. In reality, the enzymes of microbial origin have high biotechnological interests, including the industrial, agricultural, biological, pharmacological, and environmental fields [25]. The soil microorganisms occupy a relatively unexplored site with respect to enzyme production and so they can represent a new source in obtaining more enzymes with different potentialities.

In this study, the potential of microbial isolates from soil samples for extracellular enzyme production was characterized. Of the 477 isolates analyzed, 28 bacteria isolated were obtained. It was revealed that many

S.No.	Isolate	Gram's-stain	Identification	Inhibition zone of agar diffusion at 24 hrs and 48 hrs (mean±SD)								
				MRSA28		MRSA29		MRSA36		S. typhir	nurium	
				24	48	24	48	24	48	24	48	
1	191	Gram-negative bacilli	Burkholderia spp.	-	-	-	-	17.0±0.7	18.0±0.1	-	-	
2	225	Gram-negative bacilli	Pseudomonas spp.	-	-	11.0±2.2	13.0±2.1	-	-	-	-	
3	277	Gram-negative bacilli	Pseudomonas spp.	-	-	$10.0 \pm 0.0$	$10.0 \pm 0.0$	-	-	-	-	
4	278	Gram-positive bacilli	Burkhoderia spp.	-	-	$11.0 \pm 0.0$	$11.0 \pm 0.0$	-	-	-	-	
5	303	Gram-negative bacilli	Pseudomonas spp.	14.0±1.1	$14.0 \pm 1.4$	-	-	-	-	-	-	
6	307	Gram-positive coccobacilli	Rhodococcus spp.	-	-	-	-	-	-	9.0±0.5	10.0±0.	

Table 2: Antimicrobial activity of microbial strains against test microbial pathogen at 24 hrs and 48 hrs by agar well diffusion

Inhibition zone by agar well diffusion in the table is derived from three experiments and given in mean±SD (n=3). SD: Standard deviation, MRSA: Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus, S. typhimurium: Salmonella typhimurium* 

# Table 3: MIC and MBC of extracellular filtration from different isolates of microbial strains against test microbial pathogen determined by broth microdilution

No.	Isolate	Identification	Tested microorganisms									
			MRSA28		MRSA29		MRSA36		S. typhimu	rium		
			MIC dilution	MBC dilution	MIC dilution	MBC dilution	MIC dilution	MBC dilution	MIC dilution	MBC dilution		
1	191	Burkholderia spp.	-	-	-	-	undiluted	G	-	-		
2	225	Pseudomonas spp.	-	-	Undiluted	G	-	-	-	-		
3	277	Pseudomonas spp.	-	-	Undiluted	G	-	-	-	-		
4	278	Pseudomonas spp.	-	-	Undiluted	G	-	-	-	-		
5	303	Burkholderia spp.	512	256	-	-	-	-	-	-		
6	307	Rhodococcus spp.	-	-	-	-	-	-	Undiluted	G		
control	Gentamicin (µg/ml)		62.50	62.50	1.95	1.95	62.50	62.50	62.50	62.50		

\*G: Growth, ND: Not done. These data in the table are derived from three experiments and given in mean ± SD (n = 3). MIC: Minimum inhibitory concentration, MBC: Minimum bactericidal concentration, SD: Standard deviation, *S. typhimurium: Salmonella typhimurium* 

S.No.		Identification	Clear zor	ne of intere	sted biolog	ical enzym	e at 2-14 da	ays (mm)			Total
	number		Chitin	Chitosan	Starch	Cellulose	Skim milk	Gelatin	Tween 20	Tween 80	enzyme production per isolated
1	162	Rhodococcus spp.	-	7.0±0.0	9.0±0.0	7.0±1.4	21.5±0.1	17.5±2.12	-	-	5
2	295	Rhodococcus spp.	-	8.0±1.1	17.0±1.2	18.0±0.0	49.0±1.4	32.0±1.41	7.0±0.0	7.0±1.4	7
3	297	Rhodococcus spp.	-	11.0±1.4	$15.5 \pm 0.4$	28.0±1.1	43.5±0.7	40.0±1.41	11.0±1.1	5.5±0.7	7
4	304	Rhodococcus spp.	-	$10.5 \pm 0.1$	$10.5 \pm 0.1$	18.5±0.7	33.0±1.1	37.5±2.12	6.5±2.2	10.5±0.7	7
5	306	Rhodococcus spp.	-	-	16.0±1.4	18.5±0.1	63.5±0.1	45.5±0.71	$10.0 \pm 0.0$	13.0±0.0	6
6	307	Rhodococcus spp.	-	-	16.5±0.1	12.0±0.0	71.0±0.1	34.0±0.71	10.0±0.1	17.0±0.1	6
7	319	Rhodococcus spp.	$11.5 \pm 2.1$	-	22.5±0.6	130±1.4	78.5±0.7	46.0±1.41	8.5±0.7	20.5±0.1	7
8	323	Rhodococcus spp.	-	-	17.5±0.4	16.5±0.1	-	37.0±1.41	7.5±0.1	6.0±0.0	5
9	331	Rhodococcus spp.	-	14.5±0.1	28.5±0.2	17.0±1.4	43.5±0.1	-	8.0±0.0	11.5±2.2	6
10	333	Rhodococcus spp.	-	-	40.0±0.0	24.0±1.4	33.0±1.1	-	-	-	3
11	226	Burkholderia spp.	-	$10.5 \pm 0.1$	9.0±1.1	20.0±0.0	27.5±3.5	-	26.5±2.2	-	5
12	293	Pseudomonas spp.	-	31.0±1.1	19.0±1.4	21.0±1.4	52.5±3.5	33.5±2.12	-	-	5
13	310	Pseudomonas spp.	-	13.0±1.4	25.5±0.1	15.5±0.1	74.0±1.1	36.5±2.12	-	9.5±0.1	6
14	353	Pseudomonas spp.	-	-	9.0±0.0	-	-	19.0±1.41	-	-	2
15	356	Pseudomonas spp.	-	-	-	-	24.0±5.6	37.5±3.54	$15.5 \pm 0.1$	-	3
16	357	Pseudomonas spp.	-	-	-	-	26.5±2.2	29.0±1.41	11.0±1.1	-	3
17	360	Pseudomonas spp.	-	-	-	-	27.0±2.8	36.5±4.95	-	-	2
18	361	Pseudomonas spp.	-	-	-	-	25.0±1.4	37.0±4.24	-	-	2
19	362	Pseudomonas spp.	-	-	-	-	25.5±0.1	35.0±1.41	-	-	2
20	364	Pseudomonas spp.	-	-	-	-	24.5±2.2	45.0±7.07	-	-	2
21	366	Pseudomonas spp.	-	-	-	-	28.5±0.1	51.0±1.41	16.0±1.4	-	3
22	367	Pseudomonas spp.	-	-	-	-	27.0±1.4	60.5±0.71	-	-	2
23	369	Pseudomonas spp.	-	-	-	-	28.5±2.2	70.0±0.00	-	-	2
24	378	Pseudomonas spp.	-	-	-	11.0±1.1	60.0±0.0	-	-	-	2
25	385	Pseudomonas spp.	-	-	-	2.5±0.1	-	15.5±0.71	-	-	2
26	393	Pseudomonas spp.		-	-	-	-	27.5±0.71	-	-	1
27	413	Pseudomonas spp.	-	-	-	6±1.4	-	25.0±0.00	-	-	2
28	420	Pseudomonas spp.	-	-	-	-	-	32.0±1.41	-	-	1
		Total effective	1 (0.24)	8 (1.88)	14 (3.29)	16 (3.76)	22 (5.18)	24 (5.65)	12 (2.82)	9 (2.12)	
		isolate (%)									

Table 4: Biological enzyme activities of microbial strains

Clear zone of interested biological enzyme in the table is derived from three experiments and given in mean±SD (n=3). SD: Standard deviation

isolates produced more than one of the tested enzymes. There were four isolates producing 7, 6, 5, and 3 enzymes, respectively (14.29%), ten isolates producing 2 enzymes (7.14%), and two isolates producing only 1 enzyme (3.57%) as mentioned in Table 4.

As shown in Table 4, gelatinase was the enzyme most produced in all among samples (24 isolates; 5.65%), while the enzyme caseinase (22 isolates; 5.18%) and CM-cellulase (16 isolates; 3.74%) were found with the second and third ranges, respectively. In particular, the isolate which produced the widest diameter hydrolysis zone in gelatin, skim milk, and cellulose were 369, 319, and 297, respectively. The present study indicates that some of these might produce good amounts (high activity) of the biological enzyme. Some of the isolates have shown a significant positive result in terms of the zone of clearance around the bacterial colony, whereas some of them showed less area indicating the different rate of enzyme production by different isolates.

Morphological and biochemical characteristics indicated that most active enzyme production bacteria belong to the genus *Rhodococcus* spp. (10 isolates), *Pseudomonas* spp. (17 isolates), and *Burkholderia* spp. (1 isolates).

Our results were similar to that of Alves *et al.* who found that all isolated microbial enzymes in natural environments possess extracellular enzymes caseinase and esterase [26]. While, other reports have found esterase, cellulase, and protease activities in soil [27-29]. Soil is a complex system that includes a range of microhabitats with different physicochemical characteristics and discontinuous environmental conditions. Therefore, in the present report, most of the isolates from soil sample produced many tested enzymes. Organisms that share the same microhabitat may contribute to nutrient availability on the site; hence, the production of various enzymes is important.

#### CONCLUSION

In the present work, numerous microbial isolates from soil were screened for the production of extracellular antibiotic substance and also hydrolytic enzymes. We reported here a soil bacteria isolate capable of inhibiting the growth of MRSA and *S. typhimurium*. Determination of the biological enzyme in preliminary test revealed that most of enzyme-producing bacteria produced more than one analyzed enzyme. Further, the interactions between these microorganisms and modifying culture conditions such as pH, growth media, and stimulant supplement in large scale might be studied to help in getting better production of the particular bioactive compound and enzyme.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors of this research work would like to thank Research Institute, Rangsit University under grant number 69/2555 for overall support; mainly the financial support to P. Powthong.

#### REFERENCES

- Riley MA, Wertz JE. Bacteriocins: Evolution, ecology, and application. Annu Rev Microbiol 2002;56:117-37.
- El-Banna N, Quddoumi SS, Daradka H. Antimicrobial substances produced by bacteria isolated from different Jordanian sources that are active against methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. Afr J Biotechnol 2007;6(15):1837-9.
- Desriac F, Jégou C, Balnois E, Brillet B, Le Chevalier P, Fleury Y. Antimicrobial peptides from marine proteobacteria. Mar Drugs 2013;11(10):3632-60.
- Mohapatra BR, Bapuji M, Sree A. Production of industrial enzymes (amylase, carboxymethylcellulase and protease) by bacteria isolated from marine sedentary organisms. Acta Biotechnol 2003;23:75-84.
- Sánchez-Porro C, Martín S, Mellado E, Ventosa A. Diversity of moderately halophilic bacteria producing extracellular hydrolytic enzymes. J Appl Microbiol 2003;94(2):295-300.

- Dang H, Zhu H, Wang J, Li T. Extracellular hydrolytic enzyme screening of culturable heterotrophic bacteria from deep-sea sediments of the Southern Okinawa Trough. World J Microbiol Biotechnol 2009;25(1):71-9.
- Vaz AB, Rosa LH, Vieira ML, de Garcia V, Brandão LR, Teixeira LC, et al. The diversity, extra-cellular enzymatic activities and photoprotective compounds of yeasts isolated in Antarctica. Braz J Microbiol 2011;42(3):937-47.
- Emimol A, Ganga G, Parvathy R, Radhika G, Nair GM. Screening of microbes producing extracellular hydrolytic enzyme from corporation waste dumping site and house hold waste for the enhancement of bioremediation methods. IOSR J Pharm Biol Sci 2012;4(1):54-60.
- MacNeil IA, Tiong CL, Minor C, August PR, Grossman TH, Loiacono KA, et al. Expression and isolation of antimicrobial small molecules from soil DNA libraries. J Mol Microbiol Biotechnol 2001;3(2z):301-8.
- Torsvik V, Øvreås L. Microbial diversity and function in soil: From genes to ecosystems. Curr Opin Microbiol 2002;5(3):240-5.
- 11. Fernando C. Screening tests for antibiotics. Mycologia 1947;39:128-30.
- 12. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards (NCCLS). In:
- 12<sup>th</sup> Informational Supplement M-100-S12. Wayne, PA: NCCLS; 2002. 13. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards. In: Approved
- Standard. NCCLS Document M38-A. Wayne, PA: NCCLS; 2002.
  14. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards. In: Approved Standard M27-A2. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Wayne, PA: NCCLS; 2002.
- Hankin L, Anagnostakis SL. The use of solid media for the detection of enzyme production by fungi. Mycologia 1975;67(3):597-607.
- Smibert RM, Krieg NR. Phenotypic Characterization in Methods for General and Molecular Bacteriology. Washington, DC: American Society for Microbiology; 1994. p. 611-51.
- Hendricks CW, Doyle JD, Hugley B. A new solid medium for enumerating cellulose-utilizing bacteria in soil. Appl Environ Microbiol 1995;61(5):2016-9.
- Saadoun I, Gharaibeh R. The *Streptomyces* flora of Badia region of Jordan and its potential as a source of antibiotic resistant bacteria.

J Arid Environ 2003;53(3):365-71.

- Motta AS, Cladera-Olivera F, Brandelli A. Screening for antimicrobial activity among bacteria isolated from the Amazon Basin. Braz J Microbiol 2004;35(4):307-10.
- Zuhud EA, Rahayu WP, Wijaya CH, Sari PP. Antimikrobialaktivity of kedawungekstrak (*Parkia roxburghii* G. Don) on food borne pathogens. J Teknol Ind Pangan 2001;12:6-12.
- Ajizah A, Dan Mirhanuddin T. Potential of *Eusideroxylon zwageri* T. et B. Bark extract to inhibit the growth of *Staphylococcus aureus* in *in vitro*. Bioscientiae 2007;4:37-42.
- Hospital-acquired pneumonia in adults: Diagnosis, assessment of severity, initial antimicrobial therapy and preventative strategies: A consensus statement. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1996;153(5):1711-25.
- Mashoria A, Lovewanshi HS, Rajawat BS. Isolation of antimicrobial producing bacteria from soil samples collected from Bhopal Region of Madhya Pradesh, India. Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci 2014;3(12):563-9.
- Kaur S, Kaur J, Pankaj PP. Isolation and characterization of antibiotic producing microorganisms from soil samples of certain area of Punjab Region of India. Int J Pharm Clin Res 2014;6(4):312-5.
- Duo-Chuan L. Review of fungal chitinases. Mycopathologia 2006;161(6):345-60.
- Alves PD, Siqueira Fde F, Facchin S, Horta CC, Victória JM, Kalapothakis E. Survey of microbial enzymes in soil, water, and plant microenvironments. Open Microbiol J 2014;8(6):25-31.
- Lee SW, Won K, Lim HK, Kim JC, Choi GJ, Cho KY. Screening for novel lipolytic enzymes from uncultured soil microorganisms. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 2004;65(6):720-6.
- Barman D, Saud ZA, Habib MR, Islam MF, Hossain K, Yeasmin T. Isolation of cellulytic bacterial strains from soil for effective and efficient bioconversion of solid waste. Life Sci Med Res 2011;25:1-6.
- Fujii K, Oosugi A, Sekiuchi S. Cellulolytic microbes in the Yanbaru, a subtropical rainforest with an endemic biota on Okinawa Island, Japan. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem 2012;76(5):906-11.