ASIAN JOURNAL OF PHARMACEUTICAL AND CLINICAL RESEARCH



PHYTOCHEMICAL SCREENING WITH LC-HRMS PROFILING AND *IN VITRO* BIOLOGICAL ACTIVITIES OF ARGYREIA CUNEATA (L.) AND ARGYREIA SETOSA (L.).

SANDIP KALE¹, PRANOTI KIRDAT¹, SURESH KALE², PADMA DANDGE^{1*}

¹Department of Biochemistry, Shivaji University, Kolhapur, Maharashtra, India. ²Department of Botany, Sathaye College, Vile Parle, Mumbai, Maharashtra, India. E-mail: ssk.biochem@unishivaji.ac.in

Received: 13 June 2022, Revised and Accepted: 25 July 2022

ABSTRACT

Objective: The present study was designed for phytochemical screening and biological activities of *Argyreia cuneata* (L.) and *Argyreia setosa* (L.) medicinal plants.

Methods: The mature leaves of *A. cuneata* (L.) and *A. setosa* (L.) were extracted with methanol (Ac-Me, As-Me) and ethyl acetate (Ac-EA, As-EA) solvent followed by qualitative and quantitative phytochemical analysis. Antimicrobial activity was evaluated by agar well diffusion method, whereas the activities such as antioxidant, antidiabetic, and anti-inflammatory were determined by *in vitro* methods. Liquid chromatography-high-resolution mass spectroscopy (LC-HRMS) was used to recognize the bioactive components.

Results: The highest phenolic content (0.840 ± 0.130 mg GAE/ml extract) reported from As-Me and for flavonoids 0.128 ± 0.012 mg QE/ml from Ac-EA. The Ac-Me exhibited higher inhibition zone against all the bacteria used for study. In antioxidant activity, Ac-Me and Ac-EA report highest 2,2 dipheny l,1 picryl hydrazine (IC50=0.580±0.012 mg/ml) and nitric oxide radical scavenging potential (IC50=0.772±0.059 mg/ml), respectively. For antidiabetic activity, As-Me showed highest α -amylase inhibition activity as well as glucose adsorption. In anti-inflammatory activity, Ac-EA exhibits highest (IC50=0.529±0.009 mg/ml) protein denaturation inhibition and Ac-Me showed highest (91.56±1.96%) HRBC hemolysis inhibition. The LC-HRMS analysis of methanolic extract reports the majority of phenolic compounds.

Conclusion: The study showed that the plants *A. cuneata* (L.) and *A. setosa* (L.) are well exploited and can be used for the source of potent natural bioactive components. This study also may thereby provide an insight in screening of crude drug.

Keywords: Argyreia cuneata, Argyreia setosa, Liquid Chromatography-High-Resolution Mass Spectroscopy, Biological activities, DPPH.

© 2022 The Authors. Published by Innovare Academic Sciences Pvt Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/) DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.22159/ajpcr:2022v15i10.45502. Journal homepage: https://innovareacademics.in/journals/index.php/ajpcr

INTRODUCTION

Phytochemical analysis has great interest to identity the health benefits in drug and functional food development. The health effects of phytochemicals can identify by many in vitro screening methods. Due to high cost and low productivity in these methods, there is scope for improvement. Hence by utilizing molecular, chemical, or pharmacological information, researchers have proposed in silico methods as alternative [1,2]. The medicinal plants are the great source of essential nutrients and bioactive phytochemicals which are important in promoting health as well as preventing diseases. The vital nutrients, that is, macro- and micronutrients in plants address as crucial requirement for human health since long ago. Recently, the phytochemicals emerged as key molecules of various cellular signaling pathways that known to be secondary metabolites. These compounds are generally non-nutritive chemicals produced through several chemical pathways in plants. The large number of phytochemicals can be beneficial to the cellular functions. It also shows the effects on heath as phytochemical rich food and strongly indicates their role in curing diseases.

The plant genus *Argyreia* (L) belongs to family convolvulaceae that has been used in different system of traditional medication for the treatment of diseases and ailments of human beings. The ergot alkaloid lysergic acid amide (LSA) is a secondary plant constituent in a number of plants, but it is mainly present in considerable amounts in Convolvulaceae, like *Argyreia nervosa*. The LSA level in correlation with several vegetative adverse effects in human serum and urine analyzed [3]. The anti-diabetic potential and antibacterial activity of silver nanoparticles synthesized from *A. nervosa* have been evaluated. The preliminary phytochemical analysis of several species from genus *Argyreia* is done [4,5]. *Argyreia speciosa* possessed strong antioxidant activity, remarkable anti-

diabetogenic effect comparable to glibenclamide, a well-known liver protecting herbal. The methanolic extract of *Argyreia argentea* shows potent analgesic and anti-inflammatory activity in animal model. The extract could be a new and potential source of anti-inflammatory and analgesic drug [6,7]. Three resinglycosides (two are isomers) are isolated from *Argyreia acuta*. N-Formylloline is isolated from roots of Argyreia mollis and this is the first identification of a 1-aminopyrrolizidine alkaloid (loline alkaloid) in a species of the Convolvulaceae [8,9].

A. nervosa contains the presence of some major phytochemicals such as alkaloids, glycosides, tannins, and flavonoids. The Butanolic extract of A. speciosa showed potent antiulcer property in vitro and in vivo study. The antiulcer potential of n-butanol fraction of methanolic extract of A. nervosa may be due to the synergistic effect of Quercetin and Kaempferol [10-12]. The ethanolic extract of A. speciosa possesses immunomodulatory activity. The compounds such as hexadecanyl p-hydroxycinnamate and scopoletin isolated from A. speciosa roots showed the antifungal activity. A. speciosa possesses significant dosedependent gastroprotective activity, probably due to its free radical scavenging activity [13-15]. The literature survey revealed that the chemical diversity of bioactive components and pharmacological actions of many species for genus Argyreia remains unaddressed by rigorous scientific research to define efficacy and safety. Hence, our study focusing on to reports the active phytochemicals from the A. cuneata (L.) and A. setosa (L.) plants which show the biological activities.

METHODS

Collection of sample and preparation of extract

The A. cuneata (L.) and A. setosa (L.) plant samples were collected from western hat region covered under Junnar Tahsil of Pune

district, Maharashtra, India. Location lies in between 19°19'45.7"N 73°53'30.3"E and 19°22'38.5"N 73°48'35.9"E. The plant samples were authenticated by Dr. M. M. Lekhak, Department of Botany, Shivaji University, Kolhapur (India) with the voucher specimen no.KVS01&KVS02. The leaves of plants were washed thoroughly, and then shed dried for 15 days at room temperature. Fine powder of shed dried leaves was prepared using a mechanical grinder. Ten grams of powder were mixed in 150 ml solvent. For complete extraction, the mixture was kept overnight in shaking incubator. The suspension was, then, filtered and crude extract was obtained. The concentrated crude extract was obtained by evaporating maximum solvent using rotavapor. The viscous extract was, then, taken on Petri plates and evaporated completely. Dried leaf extract was weighed and redissolved in a solvent [16].

Phytochemical screening of extract

The phytochemical tests for secondary metabolites such as alkaloids, anthraquinones, proteins and amino acids, saponins, flavonoids, phenols, terpenoids, sterols, tannins, and glycosides were performed to know the existence in plant extracts tests [17-19].

Test for alkaloids

Alkaloids were detected using Meyer's test. Plant extracts + Mayer's reagent (Potassium Mercuric Iodide), formation of a yellow colored precipitate indicates the presence of alkaloids.

Test for anthraquinone

Add 0.5 ml of plant extract + 1 ml of 10% ammonium solution in aliquots, shake vigorously for 1 min. Red color indicates the presence of anthraquinone.

Test for proteins and amino acids

Ninhydrin test – add few drops of Ninhydrin reagent in 1 ml of plant extract and then keep in boiling water bath for 30 s to 1 min. Purple color to mixture indicates the presence of proteins and amino acids.

Test for saponin

Foam test – take 1 ml of plant extract in test tube and shake for 15 min. Formation of foam layer indicates the presence of saponin.

Test for flavonoids

Aluminium chloride test – take adequate amount of plant extract and add equal amount of 1% aluminium chloride solution into it. The light-yellow color indicates the presence of flavonoid.

Test for phenols

Ferric chloride test – add 1 ml of plant extract in 2 ml of 5% or 1 N aqueous ferric chloride. The deep blue or black color to mixture indicates presence of phenols.

Test for terpenoids

 H_zSO_4 Test – add 0.4 ml of chloroform + 1 ml of plant extract in aliquots. Evaporate mixture on boiling water bath then cool and add 0.6 ml conc. H_2SO_4 to it. Boil mixture for 30 s. Gray color formation indicates the presence of terpenoids.

Salkowski's test – take 1 ml plant extract +2 ml of chloroform in test tube then add 3 ml of conc. H_2SO_4 from the side of the test tube. Reddishbrown color at the interface indicates the presence of terpenoids.

Test for sterols

Salkowski's test – take 1 ml mixture plant extract + 2 ml of chloroform in test tube, add 1 ml of conc. H_2SO_4 from the side of the test tube. Red color formation to chloroform layer and greenish-yellow fluorescent to acid layer indicate the presence of sterols.

Test for tannins

Ferric chloride test – take 1 ml of plant extract + 1ml distilled water, then add 2–4 drops of 1 N ferric chloride solution. The formation of black color indicates presence of tannins.

Test for glycosides

Leibermann's test – to the mixture of 1 ml of acetic acid and 1 ml of chloroform, add 1 ml of plant extract. After cooling, this mixture adds drop wise conc. H_2SO_4 . The formation of green color indicates presence of glycosides.

Salkowski's test - add 2 ml of conc. H_2SO_4 drop wise to the plant extract. The reddish-brown color to mixture indicates presence of glycosides.

Determination of total phenolic content

To access the total phenolic content in plant extracts, Folin-Ciocalteu method described by Raghavendra *et al.* [20] was used. 0.5 ml extract with diluted concentrations mixed with 0.5 ml of Folin-Ciocalteu reagent (1:10) and 2 ml of sodium carbonate (2%) in separate tubes. Then mixture tubes were incubated at room temperature for 30 min. Absorbance of reaction mixture determined spectrophotometrically at 765 nm. Gallic acid used as a standard. Gallic acid (0–1000 μ g/ml) standard curve plot and total phenolic content in different extracts estimated as mg Gallic acid equivalent (GAE) from the graph.

Determination of total flavonoid content

Determination of total flavonoid content in plant extracts was done by the method described by Aryal *et al.* [21]. The reaction mixtures were prepared using 1 ml of extract or Quercetin (25–200 μ g/ml) solution with 0.2 ml 10% (w/v) AlCl₃ solution in methanol, 0.2 ml (1M) potassium acetate, and 5.6 ml Distilled water. The mixtures, then, incubated at room temp for 30 min. Absorbance was recorded at 415 nm. Quercetin used as a standard. Quercetin (0–200 μ g/ml) standard curve has been plot using different concentrations and total flavonoid content in different extracts expressed as mg Quercetin equivalent from the graph.

Determination of Total protein content by Lowry's method

The total protein content in plant extracts was estimated by Lowry *et al.* [22]. The blue color developed after reaction of protein was read at 660 nm with a spectrophotometer. The concentration of protein was calculated using BSA as a standard. The total protein content was expressed in mg/ml of sample extract.

Determination of total reducing sugar content by DNSA method

The estimation of total reducing sugar content was done by DNSA method. The orange color developed after reaction of glucose with DNSA was read at 530 nm. The total reducing sugar concentration was calculated using glucose as a standard. The total content of reducing sugar expressed in mg/ml of extract [23].

Antimicrobial activity

For antimicrobial screening of A. cuneata (L.) and A. setosa (L.), leaf extract agar well diffusion method was used. Bacterial cultures (24 h old) were used. Using a sterile glass spreader, a100 μ l of test bacterial suspensions were spread over the nutrient agar plates and using the 1 ml micro pipette's tip wells were made in plates. A100 μ l of A. cuneata (L.) and A. setosa (L.) leaf extracts (1 mg/ml) were added to the well. For the reference standard, 100 μ l of antibiotic (Ampicillin) was added and for the control 100 μ l of DMSO was added to the wells. Plates were left to ensure the complete diffusion of added samples. After that, plates were kept in incubator at 37°C for 24 h. Inhibition zone was measured after incubation. No any inhibition zone was observed for DMSO as negative control. The ampicillin showed average inhibition zone 2.5 cm for all the test organisms. The % RIZD (Relative Inhibition Zone Diameter) was determined by the formula given below:

(IZD sample –IZD negative control) %RIZD = -× 100 IZD antibiotic control

Antioxidant activity

Determination of DPPH radical scavenging activity

The stable DPPH (2,2 diphenyl,1 picryl hydrazine) free radical scavenging activity of extract evaluated using method described by Nguemfo *et al.* [24]. 1mlextracts (100–1000 μ g/ml) added to 3.0ml of a solvent DPPH solution (0.004% in methanol). Then incubate the tubes in dark for 30 min, the absorbance recorded at 517 nm in a spectrophotometer, and using solvent as blank. Ascorbic acid was used as control. The percentage inhibition of the DPPH radical by sample calculated according to the formula:

% Inhibition = $[A - B]/A \times 100$

Where A= absorbance of the DPPH control at t = 0 min and B = absorbance in presence of extract at a particular concentration at t = 30 min. The IC50 = conc. of sample required to scavenge 50 % DPPH radicals [24].

Determination of nitric oxide radical scavenging activity

Nitric oxide radical scavenging activity was accessed by method described by Gangwar *et al.* [25] with minor modification. In reaction mixture, a various conc. (100–1000 μ g/ml) of extract added in 10 mm Sodium Nitroprusside prepared in 0.5 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) with final volume of 3 ml. After incubation for 150 min at 25°C, 1.0 ml Griess reagent [(0.33% sulfanilic acid in 20% glacial acetic acid)+ 1 ml of naphthylethylenediamine dichloride (0.1% w/v)] was added. The absorbance was measured spectrophotometrically at 546 nm. Ascorbic acid was used as a positive control. The amount of nitric oxide radical inhibition is calculated by equation given below:

% inhibition of NO radical= $(A_0 - A_1)/A_0 \times 100$

Where, A_0 = absorbance before reaction and A_1 = absorbance after reaction.

Anti-diabetic activity

Assay of α -Amylase inhibition

In vitro amylase inhibition was studied by method of Banerjee *et al.* [26] with some modifications. A 500 μ L of the extract (100–1000 μ g/ml) mixed with 500 μ l of 0.1M phosphate buffer pH 6.9 containing α -amylase enzyme (fungal diastase [0.5%]). After 20-min incubation at 37°C, 500 μ l of 1% starch solution in 0.1M phosphate buffer pH-6.9 (containing 6.7 mM sodium chloride) added and then incubated at 37°C for 10 min. For the control, same procedure was performed, where 500 μ l buffer was added instead of enzyme. Reaction was arrested by adding 1ml of dinitrosalicylic acid reagent in reaction mixture and kept it in boiling water bath for 10 min then cooled and absorbance was measured at 540 nm using spectrophotometer. The % α -amylase enzyme inhibition was calculated using following formula;

Inhibition (%) = [A(control) – A (extract)/A(control)] × 100

Where, A = Absorbance at 540 nm

Determination of glucose adsorption capacity

Glucose adsorption capacity of the samples was determined by the method described by Das and Gayathri [27], Gauhar *et al.* [28], and Bhutkar *et al.* [29] with modifications. The plant extracts (1%) were added to 25 ml of glucose solution (50–100 mmol/l). The mixtures were incubated in a shaker water bath at 37°C for 6 h. After incubation, mixtures were centrifuged at 4800 rpm for 20 min. Supernatant was collected and glucose content determined by glucose oxidase peroxidase method. The concentration of bound glucose was determined using formula:

Glucose bound = [G1 - G6/weight of sample] × V

Where, G1 = glucose concentration at time t = 0, G6 = glucose concentration at time t = 6 h.

V = volume of sample

In vitro Anti-inflammatory activity

BSA denaturation inhibition assay

Protein denaturation assay was performed by method describe by Osman *et al.* [30] with modifications. The reaction mixture consisted of the 100 μ l test extracts (100–1000 μ g/ml) and 100 μ l of 5% aqueous solution of bovine serum albumin (BSA); 3 ml of phosphate buffer saline (PBS, pH-6.4). pH was adjusted by adding a small volume of glacial acetic acid. The mixtures were incubated at 37°C for 20 min and then heated to 70°C for 10 min. The mixture was allowed to cool for 10 min after which turbidity was measured at 660 nm. The blank comprised the sample and distilled water. Distilled water was used as the negative control. The positive control was diclofenac sodium. A % inhibition of BSA protein denaturation was calculated formula:

% inhibition = $(A_c - A_t)/A_c \times 100$

Where, A_{c} = absorbance of control and A_{t} = absorbance of test.

Egg albumin denaturation inhibition

Egg albumin protein denaturation method was performed by method described by Chippada *et al.* [31] and Dharmdeva *et al.* [32]. 0.4 ml of egg albumin (from fresh hen's egg), 5.6 ml of phosphate buffered saline (PBS, pH 6.4), and 4 ml of plant extract (100–1000 μ g/ml) mixed in aliquots. Double-distilled water used as control. Then, tubes were incubated at (37°C ±2) for 15 min and then heated at 70°C for 5 min. The solutions were cooled and absorbance measured at 660 nm using inner medium (vehicle) as blank. Diclofenac sodium (100–1000 μ g/ml) used as positive control. The % inhibition of egg albumin protein denaturation was calculated by given formula:

% inhibition = $(A_c - A_t)/A_c \times 100$

Where, A = absorbance of control and A = absorbance of test.

Membrane lysis assay

Preparation of erythrocyte suspension

Erythrocyte cell suspension was prepared by method described by Gunathilake *et al.* [33]. Healthy human blood collected in heparinized centrifuge tube and centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 5 min, then washed three times 1:1 normal saline (0.9% NaCl). After the centrifugation, blood sample reconstituted as suspension by 10% (v/v) isotonic buffer solution (10 mM sodium phosphate buffer pH 7.4) (For 1L isotonic buffer solution volume 0.2 g NaH₂PO₄ + 1.15g Na₂HPO₄ + 9 g NaCl) [33].

Heat-induced hemolysis

The mixture of 0.05 ml of blood cell suspension and 0.05 ml of leaves extracts (25, 50, 75, 100 μ g/ml) were prepared with 2.95 ml phosphate buffer (pH-7.4). Mixtures were incubated at 54°C for 20 min in a shaking water bath then centrifuged (2500 rpm for 3 min). After centrifugation, supernatant was collected and absorbance measured spectrophotometrically at 540 nm. Phosphate buffer solution instead of extract was used as a control.

The level of hemolysis was calculated as follows:

% inhibition of hemolysis = $(A_c - A_t)/A_c \times 100$

Where, A_c = absorbance of control and A_c = absorbance of test.

Identification of phytochemicals/metabolites using liquid chromatography-high-resolution mass spectroscopy (LC-HRMS)

Identification of bioactive compounds from the crude methanolic extract of *A. cuneata* (L.) and *A. setosa* (L.) was done by Liquid Chromatography-

| Constituents | Name of the test | A. cuneata (L.) | | A. setosa (L.) | |
|--------------------------|--|-----------------|-------|----------------|-------|
| | | Ac-Me | Ac-EA | As-Me | As-EA |
| Alkaloids | Mayer's test | + | + | + | + |
| Anthraquinones | Test for anthraquinone | + | - | - | - |
| Proteins and amino acids | Ninhydrin test | + | + | + | + |
| Saponins | Foam test | + | - | + | - |
| Flavonoids | Aluminum Chloride test | + | + | + | + |
| Phenols | Ferric chloride test | + | + | + | + |
| Terpenoids | i. H ₂ SO ₄ test | + | + | + | + |
| - | ii. Šalkowski's test | + | + | + | + |
| Sterols | Test for sterol | + | - | + | - |
| Tannins | Ferric chloride test | + | - | + | - |
| Glycosides | i) Leibermann's test | + | + | + | + |
| - | ii) Salkowski's test | + | + | + | + |

Table 1: Qualitative phytochemical analysis of A. cuneata (L.) and A. setosa (L.) leaves extracts

(+) Present, (-) Absent

Table 2: Analysis of total content of Phenolic, Flavonoid, Protein, and Reducing sugar in A. cuneata (L.) and A. setosa (L.) leaves extracts.(Results are presented as Mean±SD)

| Sample (1 mg/ml) | Total Phenolic content (Gallic acid equivalent in mg/ml) | Total Flavonoid content (Quercetin equivalent in mg/ml) | Total Protein content (BSA equivalent in mg/ml) | Total Reducing sugar content (Glucose equivalent in mg/ml) |
|------------------|---|---|---|--|
| Ac-Me | 0.780±0.065 | 0.107±0.015 | 0.011±0.002 | 0.088±0.012 |
| Ac-EA | 0.600±0.097 | 0.128±0.012 | 0.016±0.005 | 0.074±0.015 |
| As-Me | 0.840±0.130 | 0.100±0.020 | 0.030±0.009 | 0.107±0.024 |
| As-EA | 0.680±0.097 | 0.125±0.017 | 0.025±0.005 | 0.092±0.021 |

Table 3: Zone of inhibition and %RZID of *A. cuneata* (L.) and *A. setosa* (L.) plant leaves extract against bacteria

| Sample (1 mg/ml) | Zone of inhibition in mm | | | | |
|------------------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|--|
| | E. coli | B. cereus | S. aureus | P. vulgaris | |
| Ac-Me | 22 | 19 | 17 | 20 | |
| Ac-EA | 20 | 19 | 15 | 14 | |
| As-Me | 23 | 20 | 18 | 18 | |
| As-EA | 21 | 17 | 13 | 15 | |
| | %RIZD | | | | |
| Ac-Me | 88 | 76 | 68 | 80 | |
| Ac-EA | 80 | 76 | 60 | 56 | |
| As-Me | 92 | 80 | 72 | 72 | |
| As-EA | 84 | 68 | 52 | 60 | |

High-Resolution Mass Spectroscopy with electrospray ionization. LC-HRMS phytochemical profiling was performed on an Agilent 6540 UHD UHPLC system, diode array detector, and electrospray ionization quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometer (ESI-QTOF-MS). An Agilent zorbax SB-C18 (150 × 0.5 mm, 5 μ) column was used. The following gradient uses: 0.1% formic acid in water (A), 0.1% formic acid in methanol (B). Injection volume was 10 μ L and flow-rate was 0.5 mL/min. ESI-Q-TOF-MS analysis was done in positive ionization mode with mass range 100–1700 m/z [34,35].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The *A. cuneata* (L.) and *A. setosa* (L.) plant leaves crude extracts were prepared with methanol (Ac-Me = *A. cuneata* methanolic extract and As-Me = *A. setosa* methanolic extract) and ethyl acetate (Ac-EA= *A. cuneata* ethyl acetate extract and As-EA= *A. setosa* ethyl acetate extract). The highest yield of extract was measured, that is, Ac-Me = $0.318\pm0.025/10$ g dry weight. The presence of various phytocompounds was detected qualitatively. For to test the presence, primary metabolites such as carbohydrates, proteins, and amino acids and secondary metabolites such as phenolics, tannins, flavonoids, saponins, terpenoids, glycosides, and anthraquinones

the standard procedures were followed. Table 1 represents results of the phytochemical analysis.

In a quantitative analysis, the total phenolic, flavonoid, protein, and reducing sugar content was evaluated. The *A. cuneata* (L.) and *A. setosa* (L.) plant leaves extracts showed a total phenolic content ranges in between 0.600 ± 0.097 mg/ml and 0.840 ± 0.130 mg/ml GAE, total flavonoid content in between 0.100 ± 0.020 mg/ml and 0.128 ± 0.012 mg/ml quercetin equivalent. The total protein content and reducing sugar content calculated using Lowry's method and DNSA method, respectively. The value ranges in between 0.011 ± 0.002 mg/ml to 0.30 ± 0.009 mg/ml using BSA as a standard for protein and 0.074 ± 0.015 mg/ml to 0.107 ± 0.024 mg/ml using glucose as a standard for reducing sugar. Table 2 showed the results of quantitative phytochemical analysis.

The antimicrobial activity of *A. cuneata* (L.) and *A. setosa* (L.) plant leaves extract was determined by agar well diffusion method. The percent relative inhibition zone diameter was calculated using ampicillin as reference standard shows an inhibition zone diameter of 2.5 cm. The DMSO used as negative control and does not show any inhibition zone. The *A. cuneata* (L.) and *A. setosa* (L.) plant leaves extracts showed the significant zone of inhibition against the all studied bacteria. However, the methanolic extract of *A. setosa* (L.) showed the higher zone of inhibition against *E. coli* and *B. cereus.* Table 3 shows the results of zone of inhibition and % RIZD (Relative Inhibition Zone Diameter).

To evaluate free radical scavenging potential of *A. cuneata* (L.) and *A. setosa* (L.) plant leaves extracts, DPPH and Nitric oxide radical scavenging methods were used. The *A. cuneata* (L.) and *A. setosa* (L.) plant leaves extracts showed increasing antioxidant activity with increasing concentration along with the standard ascorbic acid. The IC 50 for DPPH free radical scavenging was determined, that is, Ac-Me=0.580±0.012 mg/ml, Ac-EA=0.580±0.004 mg/ml, As-Me=0.708±0.006 mg/ml, As-EA=0.729±0.008 mg/ml with standard ascorbic acid, and AA=0.524±0.005 mg/ml (Fig. 1). The IC 50 for Nitric oxide radical

| | Table 4: List of some prominent bioactive components detected by LC-HRMS/MS in methanolic extract of A. cuneata (Ac-Me) and | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | A. setosa (As-Me) | | | | | |
| _ | | | | | | |

| Name of compound | RT | Mass | M/Z | Molecular Formula | Ac-Me | As-Me |
|---|-------|----------|----------|---|-------|-------|
| Pirbuterol | 0.66 | 240.1477 | 241.1549 | C ₁₀ H ₂₀ N ₂ O ₂ | + | - |
| Fraxin | 5.37 | 370.0902 | 393.0795 | $C_{12}^{12}H_{10}^{20}O_{10}^{2}$ | + | - |
| Kawain | 6.15 | 230.0951 | 213.0919 | $C_{14}^{10}H_{14}^{10}O_{2}^{10}$ | _ | + |
| Cichorine | 6.23 | 176.0708 | 193.0740 | $C_{10}^{14} H_{11}^{14} N_{0}^{3}$ | + | - |
| (R)-(+)-Citronellal | 6.42 | 154.1357 | 137.1324 | $C_{10}^{10}H_{10}^{11}O$ | + | + |
| Baicalin | 6.46 | 446.0852 | 446.1085 | $C_{21}^{10} H_{10}^{10} O_{11}$ | + | - |
| Myricitrin | 6.57 | 464.0956 | 465.1032 | $C_{21}^{21} H_{20}^{10} O_{12}^{11}$ | + | + |
| Tentoxin | 6.67 | 414.2257 | 415.2329 | $C_{22}^{21} H_{20}^{20} N_4^{12} O_4$ | + | - |
| Carthamone | 6.76 | 448.1008 | 448.1240 | $C_{21}^{22} H_{20}^{30} O_{11}^{4}$ | + | - |
| (+)-Pisatin | 7.00 | 314.0792 | 297.0760 | $C_{17}^{21}H_{14}^{20}O_{6}^{11}$ | - | + |
| Quercetin 3-0-(6-0-malonyl-β-D-glucoside) | 7.05 | 550.0964 | 551.1039 | $C_{24}^{17} H_{22}^{14} O_{15}^{0}$ | + | - |
| Lauric acid | 7.12 | 200.1776 | 218.2115 | $C_{12}^{24}H_{24}^{22}O_{2}^{13}$ | + | + |
| (±)-Taxifolin | 7.20 | 304.0586 | 287.0554 | $C_{15}^{12}H_{12}^{24}O_{7}^{2}$ | + | + |
| Hymenoxon | 8.11 | 282.1466 | 283.1539 | $C_{15}^{13}H_{22}^{12}O_{5}^{\prime}$ | + | - |
| Propafenone | 9.67 | 341.1991 | 324.1956 | $C_{21}^{10} H_{27}^{12} N O_3$ | + | - |
| Zingerone | 9.78 | 194.0950 | 195.1024 | $C_{11}^{21} H_{14}^{2} O_{2}^{3}$ | _ | + |
| Mupirocin | 10.41 | 500.2981 | 523.2875 | $C_{26}^{11} H_{44}^{14} O_{9}^{3}$ | _ | + |
| Digoxin | 10.95 | 780.4286 | 780.4523 | $C_{41}^{20} H_{64}^{41} O_{14}^{51}$ | + | - |
| Ricinoleic acid | 11.36 | 298.2511 | 298.2744 | $C_{18}^{41} H_{34}^{54} O_{3}^{44}$ | + | + |
| Populin | 11.68 | 390.1318 | 373.1284 | $C_{20}^{10} H_{22}^{1} O_{8}^{10}$ | + | - |
| Sphinganine | 11.70 | 303.1473 | 302.3059 | $C_{18}^{10} H_{39}^{10} N O_{2}$ | + | + |
| Terminaline | 12.66 | 363.3135 | 364.3210 | $C_{23}^{10} H_{41}^{37} N O_{2}^{2}$ | _ | + |
| Phytanic Acid | 12.78 | 312.3030 | 330.3368 | $C_{20}^{20} H_{40}^{11} O_2^{21}$ | _ | + |
| Embelin | 12.85 | 294.1834 | 277.1801 | $C_{17}^{10} H_{26}^{10} O_{4}^{10}$ | + | + |
| Cortol | 13.42 | 368.2569 | 351.2536 | $C_{21} H_{36} O_5$ | + | + |
| Sugetriol | 14.00 | 252.1728 | 235.1695 | $C_{15}^{-1} H_{24}^{-0} O_{3}^{-1}$ | + | + |
| Phytol | 14.06 | 296.3082 | 314.3421 | $C_{20}^{10} H_{40}^{11} O$ | - | + |
| Icacine | 14.56 | 405.2152 | 388.2121 | $C_{22} H_{31} N O_6$ | + | - |
| Graphinone | 14.99 | 296.1624 | 279.1592 | $C_{16}^{22} H_{24}^{31} O_5$ | + | + |
| Misoprostol | 17.12 | 382.2720 | 365.2695 | $C_{22}^{10} H_{38}^{11} O_5^{11}$ | + | + |
| Lupeol | 18.99 | 426.3872 | 409.3841 | $C_{30}^{-1} H_{50}^{-1} O$ | - | + |
| Lutein | 20.53 | 568.4285 | 551.4250 | $C_{40}^{0} H_{56}^{0} O_{2}$ | + | - |
| Typhasterol | 23.58 | 448.3561 | 431.3529 | $C_{28} H_{48} O_4$ | + | + |

(+) Detected, (-) Not-detected





scavenging was calculated such as Ac-Me=0.838±0.149 mg/ml, Ac-EA=0.772±0.059mg/ml,As-Me=0.783±0.166mg/ml,As-EA=0.919±0.047mg/ml with standard ascorbic acid, and AA=0.526±0.027 mg/ml (Fig. 2)

To investigate antidiabetic activity of plant extract, alpha amylase inhibition assay and glucose adsorption method were performed. The IC 50 for alpha amylase inhibition was determined, that is, Ac-Me= 0.860 ± 0.081 mg/ml, Ac-EA= 0.920 ± 0.033 mg/ml, As-Me= 0.783 ± 0.037 mg/ml, and As-EA= 0.954 ± 0.056 mg/ml with standard Acarbose= 0.642 ± 0.038 mg/ml (Fig. 3). The *A. cuneata* (L.) and *A.setosa* (L.) plant leaves extracts showed the glucose adsorption at lower concentration (Fig. 4).





To determine anti-inflammatory activity of plant extracts, the protein denaturation assay and membrane lysis assay were performed. To cause inflammation, the protein denaturation is one reason. Hence, inhibition of protein denaturation is one of the ways to determine the anti-inflammatory potential of sample. The IC 50 for BSA protein denaturation inhibition was determined, that is, Ac-Me=0.541±0.019 mg/ml, Ac-EA=0.529±0.009 mg/ml, As-Me=0.564±0.039 mg/ml, and As-EA=0.553±0.019 mg/ml with standard Diclofinac sodium=0.514±0.009 mg/ml (Fig. 5). The IC 50 for Egg albumin protein denaturation inhibition was determined, that is, Ac-Me=0.927±0.071 mg/ml, Ac-EA=0.748±0.067 mg/ml, As-Me=0.841±0.075 mg/ml, and As-EA=0.694±0.062 mg/ml with standard Diclofinac sodium=0.482±0.006 mg/ml (Fig. 6). Any cause to hemolysis leads to the inflammation and inhibition of hemolysis may have one way to evaluate the anti-inflammatory potential. The inhibition of



Fig. 3: Amylase inhibition potential of reference standard Acarbose and plant extracts. IC50 value (in mg/ml) was obtained from graph at 50% inhibition



Fig. 4: Glucose adsorption showed by plant extracts at low concentration



Fig. 5: Protein (BSA) denaturation inhibition of reference standard and plant extracts. IC50 value (in mg/ml) was derived from graph at 50% inhibition of protein denaturation

hemolysis of *A. cuneata* (L.) and *A. setosa* (L.) plant leaves extracts was determined. A % inhibition was determine (100 μ g/ml sample conc.), that is, Ac-Me=91.56 \pm 1.96, Ac-EA=85.54 \pm 2.95, As-Me=86.74 \pm 0.98, and As-EA=84.33 \pm 2.95. The *A. cuneata* (L.) and *A. setosa* (L.) plant leaves extracts also exhibited an inhibition at lower concentration (Fig. 7).

The phytochemicals detected using the LC-HRMS/MS method in a methanol extract of leaves of *A. Cuneata* (L.) and *A. setosa* (L.) are presented in Table 4.

LC-HRMS analysis revealed presence of compounds such as embelin, citronellal, tentoxin, baicalin, propafenone, lupeol, ricinoleic acid,



Fig. 6: Protein (Egg Albumin) denaturation of reference standard and plant extracts. IC50 value (in mg/ml) was derived from graph at 50% inhibition of protein denaturation



Fig. 7: Inhibition of heat induced hemolysis of plant extracts for anti-inflammatory activity

mupirocin, and zingerone were known to have various biological activities such as antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory, anxiolytic, antimalarial, and antioxidant [36-41].

CONCLUSION

The present study showed that plant leaves extracts of *A. cuneata* (L.) and *A. setosa* (L.) have a good range of phytochemicals. The presence of remarkable biological activities, that is, antimicrobial, antioxidant, antidiabetic, and anti-inflammatory in *A. cuneata* (L.) and *A. setosa* (L.) plant leaves extracts can be directly correlated to higher phenolic content. The LC-HRMS profile has provided valuable information regarding the bioactive components. Further studies may help in identifying and purifying specific compounds for potential medicinal use.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Authors are thankful to Dr.SandipPatil, Director, Biocyte Institute of Research and Development, Sangli (Maharashtra) for their valuable assistance for analytical work. Authors are also thankful to Dr. M. M. Lekhak, Department of Botany, Shivaji University, Kolhapur (India) and Mr. KapilShinde, Department of Biotechnology, Shivaji University, Kolhapur (India) for their support in plant authentication.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors contributed equally.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Authors declared no conflicts of interest.

AUTHORS FUNDING

This work was supported by Research Strengthening Scheme, Shivaji University, Kolhapur (Maharashtra) (Ref.No: SU/ C&U.D.Section/8/1324).

REFERENCES

- Yoo S, Kim K, Nam H, Lee D. Discovering health benefits of phytochemicals with integrated analysis of the molecular network, chemical properties and Ethanopharmacological evidence. Nutrients 2018;10:1042. doi: 10.3390/nu10081042
- Wadood A, Ghufran M, Jamal SB, Naeem M, Khan A, Ghaffar R, et al. Phytochemical analysis of medicinal plants occurring in local area of Mardan. Biochem Anal Biochem 2013;2:144.
- Paulke A, Kremer C, Wunder C, Toennes SW. Analysis of lysergic acid amid in human serum and urine after ingestion of *Argyreia nervosa* seeds. Anal Bioanal Chem 2012;404:531-8. doi: 10.1007/s00216-012-6121-5, PMID 22695498
- 4. Saratale GD, Saratale RG, Benelli G, Kumar G, Pugazhendhi A, Kim DS, et al. Anti-diabetic potential of silver nanoparticles synthesized with Argyreia nervosa leaf extract high synergistic antibacterial activity with standard antibiotics against foodborne bacteria. J Clust Sci 2017;28:1709-27. doi: 10.1007/s10876-017-1179-z
- Chao JM, Dermarderosian AH. Identification of ergoline alkaloids in the genus *Argyreia* and related genera and their chemotaxonomic implications in the *Convolvulaceae*. Phytochemistry 1973;12:2435-40. doi: 10.1016/0031-9422(73)80451-0
- Ali SA, Hamed MA, EL-Rigal NS, Shabana MH, Kassem ME. Chemical constituents of *Argyreia speciosa* Fam. *Convolvulaceae* and its role against hypergycemia. J Appl Pharm Sci 2011;1:76-84.
- Dina TA, Rahman MA, Ahmed NU, Uddin MA. Analgesic and antiinflammatory properties of *Argyreia argentea* methanol extract in animal model. JTUSCI 2010;3:1-7.
- Hu JY, Wu XH, Yin YQ, Pan JT, Yu BW. Three resin glycosides isolated from *Argyreia acuta*, including two isomers. Chin J Nat Med 2018;16:354-7. doi: 10.1016/S1875-5364(18)30067-0, PMID 29860996
- Tofern B, Kaloga M, Witte L, Hartmann T, Eich E. Occurrence of Loline alkaloids in *Argyreia mollis (Convolulaceae)*. Phytochemistry 1999;51:1177-80. doi: 10.1016/S0031-9422(99)00121-1
- Jeet K, Thakur R. Phytochemical and thin Layer chromatographic profile of different extracts from whole areal part-*Argyreia nervosa*. Int J Pharm Ther 2012;3:237-42.
- 11. Azami L, Shukal I, Gupta SS, Yadav NP, Kant K, Rao CV. *In vitro* and *in vivo* study of *Argyreia speciosa* on chronic gastric ulceraction and metabolic studies. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2018;89:1039-45.
- Thakur J, Sharma S, Mukhija M, Kalia AN. Flavonoid fraction of *Argyreia nervosa* leaves with antiulcer potential in different experimental rat models. Int J Pharm Res Biosci 2013;2:557-74.
- Gokhale AB, Damre AS, Saraf MN. Investigations into immunomodulatory activity of *Argyreia speciosa*. J Ethnopharmacol 2003;84:109-14. doi: 10.1016/s0378-8741(02)00168-x, PMID 12499085
- 14. Shukla YN, Srivastava A, Kumar S, Kumar S. Phytotoxic and antimicrobial constituents of *Argyreia speciosa* and *Oenothera biennis*. J Ethnopharmacol 1999;67:241-5. doi: 10.1016/s0378-8741(99)00017-3, PMID 10619391
- Jaiswal SK, Rao CV, Sharma B, Mishra P, Das S, Dubey MK. Gastroprotective effect of standardized leaf extract from *Argyreia speciosa* on experimental gastric ulcer in rats. J Ethnopharmacol 2011;137:341-4. doi: 10.1016/j.jep.2011.05.028, PMID 21658440
- Tariq AL, Reyaz AL. Significances and importance of phytochemical present in *Terminalia chebula*. Int J Drug Dev Res 2013;5:256-62.
- Khlif I, Jellali K, Michel T, Halabalaki M, Learndros A, Skaltounis N. Characteristics, phytochemical analysis and biological activities of extracts from Tunisian Chetoui *Olea europaea* Variety. J Chem 2015:418731.
- Santhi K, Sengottuvel R. Qualitative and quantitative phytochemical analysis of *Moringa concanesis*. Nimmo. Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci 2016;5:633-40. doi: 10.20546/ijcmas.2016.501.064
- Gul R, Syed UJ, Syed FS, Jahan N. Preliminary phytochemical analysis of Alkoloids, and antioxidant activity of crude plant extracts from *Ephedra intermedia* indigenous to Balochistan. Sci World J 2017:5873648.
- Raghavendra HL, Kekuda TR, Akarsh S, Ranjitha MC, Ashwini HS. Phytochemical analysis, antimicrobial and antioxidant activities of different parts of *Pleocaulus sessilis* (Nees) Bremek. (*Acanthaceae*). Int J Green Pharm 2017;11:98-107.
- Aryal S, Baniya MK, Danekhu K, Kunwar P, Gurung R, Koirala N. Total phenolic content, flavonoid content and antioxidant potential of wild vegetables from western Nepal. Plants (Basel) 2019;8:96. doi: 10.3390/plants8040096, PMID 30978964

- Lowry OH, Rosebrough NJ, Farr AL, Randall RJ. Protein measurement with the Folin phenol reagent. J Biol Chem 1951;193:265-75. doi: 10.1016/S0021-9258(19)52451-6, PMID 14907713
- Khatri D, Chhetri SB. Reducing sugar, total phenolic content and antioxidant potential of Nepalese plants. Biomed Res Int 2020;2020:7296859. doi: 10.1155/2020/7296859, PMID 33274222
- Nguemfo EL, Dimo T, Dongmo AB, Azebaze AG, Alaoui K, Asongalem AE, et al. Antioxidative and anti-inflammatory activities of some isolated constituents from the stem bark of *Allanblackia Monticola* Staner L.C (Guttiferae). Inflammopharmacology 2009;17:37-41. doi: 10.1007/s10787-008-8039-2, PMID 19127347
- 25. Gangwar M, Gautam MK, Sharma AK, Tripathi YB, Goel RK, Nath G. Antioxidant capacity and radical scavenging effect of polyphenol rich *Mallotus philippenesis* fruit extract on human erythrocytes: An *in-vitro* study. ScientificWorldJournal 2014;2014:279451. doi: 10.1155/2014/279451, PMID 25525615
- Banerjee A, Maji B, Mukherjee S, Choudhuri K, Seal T. *In-vitro* antidiabetic and antioxidant activities of methanol extract of *Tinospora sinensis*. J Appl Biol Biotechnol 2017;5:61-7.
- Das MS, Gayathri DS. *In-vitro* glucose binding activity of *Terminalia* bellirica. Asian J Pharm Clin Res 2015;8:320-3.
- Rehman G, Hamayun M, Iqbal A, Ul Islam S, Arshad S, Zaman K, et al. In-vitro Antidiabetic effects and antioxidant potential of Cassia nemophila Pods. Biomed Res Int 2018;2018:1824790. doi: 10.1155/2018/1824790, PMID 29607313
- Bhutkar M, Bhinge S, Randive D, Wadkar G, Todkar S. Studies on glucose adsorption capacity of some indigenous plants. Glob J Pharm Sci 2018;5:555651.
- Osman NI, Sidik NJ, Awal A, Adam NA, Rezali NI. In vitro xanthine oxidase and albumin denaturation inhibition assay of Barringtonia racemosa L. and total phenolic content analysis for potential antiinflammatory use in gouty arthritis. J Intercult Ethnopharmacol 2016;5:343-9. doi: 10.5455/jice.20160731025522, PMID 27757263
- Chippada SC, Volluri SS, Bammidi SR, Vangalapati M. *In-vitro* antiinflammatory Activity of methanolic extract of *Centella asiatica* by HRBC membrane stabilisation. Rasayan J Chem 2011;4:457-60.
- 32. Dharmadeva S, Galgamuwa LS, Prasadinie C, Kumarasinghe N. In-vitro anti-inflammatory activity of Ficus racemose L. bark using albumine denaturation method. Ayu 2018;39:239-42. doi: 10.4103/ayu. AYU 27 18, PMID 31367147
- Gunathilake KD, Ranaweera KK, Rupasinghe HP. *In-vitro* antiinflammatory properties of selected green leafy vegetables. Biomedicines 2018;6:107. doi: 10.3390/biomedicines6040107, PMID 30463216
- 34. Debanjali S, Sharma P, Baruah HS, Nath S, Akhtar S, Tanti B. Phytochemical screening and antioxidant activity of leaf extract of *Phlogacanthus thyrsiflorus* Nees,-a medicinal plant of Assam, India. Biosci Discov 2018;9:237-43.
- 35. Hoang L, Beneš F, Fenclová M, Kronusová O, Švarcová V, Řehořová K, et al. Phytochemical composition and *in-vitro* biological activity of Iris spp. (*Iridaceae*): A new source of bioactive constituents for the inhibition of oral bacterial biofilm. Antibiotics (Basel) 2020;9:403. doi: 10.3390/antibiotics9070403, PMID 32664528
- Vieira C, Evangelista S, Cirillo R, Lippi A, Maggi CA, Manzini S. Effect of ricinoleic acid in acute and subchronic experimental models of inflammation. Mediators Inflamm 2000;9:223-8. doi: 10.1080/09629350020025737, PMID 11200362
- 37. Awad R, Arnason JT, Trudeau V, Bergeron C, Budzinski JW, Foster BC, et al. Phytochemical and biological analysis of skullcap (*Scutellaria lateriflora* L.): A medicinal plant with anxiolytic properties. Phytomedicine 2003;10:640-9. doi: 10.1078/0944-7113-00374, PMID 14692724
- Kim JK, Kang CS, Lee JK, Kim YR, Han HY, Yun HK. Evaluation of repellency effect of two natural aroma mosquito repellent compounds, *Citronella* and citronellal. Entomol Res 2005;35:117-20. doi: 10.1111/ j.1748-5967.2005.tb00146.x
- Margareth BC, Miranda JS. Biological activities of lupeol. Int J Biomed PharmSci 2009;3:46-66.
- Troeman DP, Van Hout D, Kluytmans JA. Antimicrobial Approaches in the Prevention of *Staphylococcus aureus* infections: A review. J Antimicrob Chemother 2019;74:281-94. doi: 10.1093/jac/dky421, PMID 30376041
- Chen X, Gao M, Jian R, Hong WD, Tang X, Li Y, *et al.* Design, synthesis and α-glucosidase inhibition study of novel embelin derivatives. J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem 2020;35:565-73. doi: 10.1080/14756366.2020.1715386, PMID 31969031