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ABSTRACT

Objective: The objective is to investigate the clinical characteristics and results of individuals who present with diabetic foot infections (DFI).

Methods: This was a prospective study conducted in collaboration with the DFID Department of General Surgery at the Arundhati Institute of Medical Sciences and Hospital in Gandhimaisamma, Hyderabad, involving patients aged 20–80 years.

Results: There were 55 patients in the current investigation, with a mean age of 61.78±11.75 years and a preference for males (n=45, 81.8%) over girls (n=10, 18.2%). The study’s largest number of patients (n=27, 49.1%) were observed in the 61–80 year age range. The average hemoglobin A1c (HBA1c) was 10.74±1.60 years, while the mean duration of diabetes mellitus was 10.87±2.681 years. Our patients’ microbiological profiles revealed that 32.7% of them were Gram positive and 67.3% were Gram negative. Gram-negative bacillus (HBA1c) was the most often found bacterium in our investigation (67.3%), followed by Pseudomonas (29.1%), Klebsiella (18.2%), and Staphylococcus aureus (5.5%).

Conclusion: Since DFIs are the most prevalent consequence of diabetes mellitus that surgeons are notified about, managing them needs a multidisciplinary approach. It is crucial to do extensive, repeated examinations, as well as any required investigations to determine the infection severity at the time of presentation.
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INTRODUCTION

Diabetic foot as defined by the World Health Organization is, “The foot of a diabetic patient that has the potential risk of pathologic consequences, including infection, ulceration, and/or destruction of deep tissues associated with neurologic abnormalities, various degrees of peripheral vascular disease, and/or metabolic complications of diabetes in the lower limb” [1,2].

With an estimated 109 million diabetics by 2035, India is poised to become the global center for diabetes [3]. India comes in second place (after China) with around 66.8 million people in the 20–70 age range suffering from diabetes. In India, 8.6% of people have diabetes [4] and, as of 2013, diabetes-related causes of death claimed the lives of over a million Indians annually [5].

One of the most frequent admittance problems, diabetic foot (DF) places a significant financial and medical strain on our health-care system [6,7]. Foot ulcers are the most prevalent cause of hospitalization for diabetic patients (30%) and account for roughly 20% of all health care costs, more than all other diabetic complications [8,9]. The lifetime risk of developing a foot ulcer is as high as 25% [10].

In India, 3% of diabetics attending centers for DF care – both indoor and outdoor – have foot ulcers [11,12].

10.4% of diabetic patients, both inpatient and outpatient, who visited hospitals in rural India had foot ulcers [13]. About 32% of diabetics under 50 have PVD, while the percentage climbs to 55% in those over 80 [14]. After 10 years, DF affects 15% of those with diabetes; after another 10 years, the number rises to nearly 50% [15].

A history of DF ulcers is present in about 85% of non-traumatic lower limb amputation cases [6,16]. DF causes more than a million diabetics to lose at least a portion of their leg annually. It illustrates how a limb is lost in the world someplace every 20 s [17].

An estimated 45,000 legs are amputated annually in India, despite the lack of contemporary population-based data available. Because an infected neuropathic foot frequently leads to amputation, the great majority (75%) of these cases are likely avoidable [18].

Twenty percentages of infections result in amputation, and over half of all foot ulcers become infected and necessitate hospitalization [19].

Fifty percentages of patients who suffer a major amputation will have their other limb amputated within 2 years. The 10-year mortality rate is 40% higher in those having a history of DF ulcers than in those with diabetes alone.

Aim of the study

The aim of this study was to evaluate clinical profile and outcome of patients in DF.

METHODS

Study subjects

This prospective observational study was carried out from September 2022 to February 2023 (6 months) at the General Surgery Department of the Arundhati Institute of Medical Sciences and Hospital in Gandhimaisamma, Hyderabad. The study involved 55 diabetic patients who were receiving treatment for DF ulcers in a tertiary care hospital at the general surgery ward. Enrolment of patients who agreed to take part in the trial took place.
Data gathering patients provided sociodemographic and anthropological information about their age, marital status, level of literacy, occupation, lifestyle (sedentary vs. active), family history (parents vs. siblings), causes of stress, length and severity of illness, etc.

Sample collection
Using a needle aspirate, samples were taken from diabetic patients who had ulcers, surgical sites that were infected, and other wounds. When dealing with closed wounds, the skin or mucosal area was first cleaned with 70% alcohol or 2% chlorhexidine, then an iodine solution (1–2% tincture iodine or 10% povidone-iodine solution). Iodine was eliminated with alcohol before specimen collection.

Inclusion criteria
The following criteria were included in the study:
- Age 20–80 years.
- Wagner's foot ulcers in Grades 1 and 2 in diabetic patients.
- Those with duration of foot ulcers more than 4–6 weeks; and with good glycemic control and neuropathic ulcers.

Exclusion criteria
The following criteria were excluded from the study:
- Age <10 and more than 80 years.
- Uncontrolled DM, Wagner's grade 3, 4, 5 ulcers, severely infected wounds and gangrene, neuroischemic ulcers, traumatic ulcers, PVD, coronary artery disease, and varicose veins.

Wagner–Meggitt classification of DF
- Grade 0 – Foot symptoms like pain, only
- Grade 1 – Ulcerations on the skin and subcutaneous tissue that are superficial
- Grade 2 – Deep ulcers involving ligaments, muscles, tendons, etc.
- Grade 3 – An ulcer involving the bone
- Grade 4 – Forefoot gangrene
- Grade 5 – Full-foot gangrene.

Statistical analysis data were analyzed using student paired t-test p<0.05 which was considered statistically significant. Statistical software SPSS version 22.0 was used for analysis.

RESULTS
There were 55 patients in the current investigation, with a mean age of 61.78±11.75 years and a preference for males (n=45, 81.8%) over girls (n=10, 18.2%). There was a maximum number of study patients (n=27, 49.1%) who were observed in the 61–80 year age range.

In the present study, left foot (58.2%) was involved more than right foot (41.8%).

Diabetes profile
Mean duration of diabetes mellitus was 10.87±2.681 years with mean hemoglobin A1c (HBA1c) of 10.74±1.60.

In the present study, grade 2 in 34.5% cases and grade 3 seen in 25.5% cases.

In our study, the most common comorbidities were hypertension, kidney disease, heart disease, and anemia. Most of the study patients had and were currently on medication.

For 55 individuals (100%), the most frequent presenting complaint was an ulcer. Graph 1 shows the other presenting aspects.

Clinical characteristics arteries palpable
Every limb's femoral artery was felt. Palpable in 20%, 25%, and 5% of the limbs were the dorsalis pedis artery, the popliteal artery (55%) and the anterior, posterior, and dorsalis tibial arteries.

Brachial ankle index
ABI on average was 0.48±0.99.

The average ulcer area at baseline was 16.75 cm±19.1 cm; after a month, it was 9.75 cm±12.59 cm; after 2 months, it was 7.24 cm±11.05 cm; and after 3 months, it was 6.18 cm±11.19 cm Table 2.

Our patients' microbiological profiles revealed that 32.7% of them were Gram positive and 67.3% were Gram negative. Gram-negative bacillus Escherichia coli was the most often found bacterium in our investigation (67.3%), followed by Pseudomonas (29.1%), Klebsiella (18.2%), and Staphylococcus aureus (5.5%). The number of amputation (7) significantly associated with anterior tibial artery Table 3.

It showed statistical significance with p=0.013 Table 4.

There was a statistically significant correlation between the severity of DF infections (DFI) and the clinical outcome (p=0.003) Table 5.

Pallable anterior tibial artery (p=0.01 and <0.01, respectively), palpable posterior tibial artery (p=0.05 and 0.01, respectively), and palpable dorsalis pedis artery (p=0.01 and 0.04, respectively) were significantly correlated with the number of both major and minor amputations, while the palpable popliteal artery was significantly correlated with the number of minor amputations only (p=0.003) Table 6.

DISCUSSION
Comparative studies related to age distribution
There were 55 patients in the current investigation, with a mean age of 61.78±11.75 years and a preference for males (n=45, 81.8%) over girls (n=10, 18.2%). There was a maximum number of study patients (n=27, 49.1%) who were observed in the 61–80 year age range.

In Seth et al. [20] study, the mean age of the 65 patients was 58.4±11.04 years, and there was a preference for males (n=54, 83.08%) over females (n=11, 16.92%).
In Abhishek et al. [21] study, DF was identified in 49 individuals. Patients with DF ranged in age from 22 to 86. Not a single patient was younger than 20. Ten patients (20.4%) were aged between 21 and 40, 20 patients (40.8%) were aged between 41 and 60, and 19 patients (38.7%) were older than 60. The majority of DF patients were older than 40; Ahmad Sahy et al [22] in his study, 60 patients in all. There were thirty-five men (58.3%). The age range was 30–87, with a mean age of 60.06±11.33 years.

In Bhoopathy et al. [23] study of the 120 cases, 48 were women and 72 were men. The age group with the highest number of affected patients was 51–60 years old (43 individuals), followed by 30–40 years old (29 patients), 41–50 years old (25 patients), and 61–70 years old (23 patients).

Comparative studies related to diabetes profile
In our study, the average HBA1c was 10.74±1.60 years, while the mean duration of diabetes mellitus was 10.87±2.681 years.

In Seth et al. [20] study among the 60 patients (92.10%) only had one foot affected by ulcers. Forty-nine ulcers (53.26%) on the right foot and 43 ulcers (46.74%) on the left foot were found. The foot and hindfoot were the sites of the majority of ulcers.

Comparative studies related to presenting complaints
For 55 (100%) of the patients in our study, the ulcer was the most frequent presenting ailment. About 61.8% of cases had trauma, 54.6% had discharge, 83.6% had fever, 72.7% had edema, and 79.3% had discomfort.

In Seth et al. [20] study among the 60 patients (92.31%), the ulcer was the most frequent presenting complaint encountered. The second most frequent presenting feature, which was found in 47 (72.31%) patients, was discharge from the foot wound.

Comparative studies related to microbiological profile
Gram-positive and Gram-negative microbiological profiles were found in 32.7% and 67.3% of the individuals in the current investigation. Gram-negative bacilli E. coli were the most often found organism in our study (67.3%), followed by Pseudomonas (29.1%), Klebsiella (18.2%), and S. aureus (5.5%).

In Seth et al. [20] according to our patients’ microbiological profiles, 36 patients (55.38%) had monomicrobial growth, while six patients (9.23%) had polymicrobial growth. Of the total patients, 23 patients (35.39%) had a sterile culture. Gram-negative isolates made up the majority of the isolates (n=35, 71.4%), while Gram-positive isolates were found in (n=14, 28.5%). Acinetobacter (12.2%) and S. aureus (28.5%) each were the most frequently isolated microorganisms.

In Abhishek et al. [21] study of the 59 patients with DF, 42 (71.2%) had Pseudomonas, 12 (20.5%) had E. coli, 11 (18.6%) had Klebsiella, 10 (20%) had Staphylococcus, and 2 (4%) showed no growth on aerobic culture media.

In Bhoopathy et al. [23] study, 84 of the 120 patients have the isolated pathogens visible. This was primarily found in Pseudomonas aeruginosa (54.8%), Klebsiella (28.6%), and E. coli (16.7%).

Comparative studies related to Wagner classification
In the present study, grade 2 in 34.5% cases and grade 3 seen in 25.5% cases.
In Bhoopathy et al. [23] 120 patients total according to Wagner's classification, there were 46 patients in type 2 and 74 patients in type I.

In our study anemia, heart disease, kidney disease, and hypertension were the most prevalent comorbidities. Similar results were seen in the Mutonga et al. [24] investigation, where the majority of the study participants had type 2 diabetes mellitus and were taking medication at the time.

**Comparative studies related to ulcer**
The average initial ulcer area in our investigation was 16.75 cm² ± 19.1 cm; after a month, it was 9.75 cm² ± 12.59 cm; after 2 months, it was 7.24 cm² ± 11.05 cm; and after 3 months, it was 6.18 cm² ± 11.19 cm.

In Seth et al. [20] study, the average ulcer area at baseline was 14.85 cm² ± 23.12 cm; after 1 month, it was 11.75 cm² ± 22.68 cm; after 2 months, it was 8.44 cm² ± 22.05 cm; and after 3 months, it was 6.38 cm² ± 21.19 cm.

The number of major and minor amputations was found to be significantly correlated with the palpable anterior tibial artery (p=0.01 and <0.01), posterior tibial artery (p=0.05 and 0.01), and dorsalis pedis artery (p=0.01 and 0.04) in our study. On the other hand, the number of minor amputations was found to be significantly correlated with the palpable popliteal artery (p=0.0003). Similar results were noted in the study of Seth et al. [20].

**CONCLUSION**
Since DFIs are the most prevalent consequence of diabetes mellitus that surgeons are notified about, managing them needs a multidisciplinary approach. It is crucial to do extensive, repeated examinations, as well as any required investigations to determine the infection severity at the time of presentation.
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