

EVALUATION OF RELEASED TEF (*ERAGROSTIS TEF* [ZUCC.] TROTTER) VARIETIES AT SOUTHWESTERN PART OF ETHIOPIA

TEGEGN BELETE*

Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research, Jimma Research Center, P.O. Box 192, Jimma, Ethiopia. Email: tegegnbelete2011@gmail.com

Received: 30 April 2022 Revised and Accepted: 26 May 2022

ABSTRACT

Objective: The objective of the study was to evaluate performance and identify high yielding and stable variety for southwestern part Ethiopia and recommend identified varieties for large scale production.

Experimental Materials: Eight nationally released tef varieties were included in the study. The materials were obtained from Debre Zeit Agricultural Research Center (DZARC).

Design and Trial Management: The experiment was conducted during the 2019 main cropping season at six locations, namely: Melko, Gechi, Omonada, Kersa, Mana, and Gooma weredas of Southwestern Ethiopia. The trial was conducted using randomized complete block design with three replications at all locations under rain-fed conditions. Sowing was done manually. Spacing between plots was 1 m, whereas that between replications was 1.5 m and the total plot size was 2 m × 2 m. Seed rates were based on the recommendation which was 15 kg/ha.

Result and Discussion: Analysis of variance revealed the presence of significant ($P < 0.05$) differences among eight tef varieties of traits of days to heading, days to maturity, plant height, panicle length, lodging index, above ground biomass, and grain yield across locations. This indicated the presence of performance variation among the tested varieties for grain yield and it is possible to identify high yielder varieties for possible use in these locations.

Conclusion and Recommendation: In general, varieties Dagim and Kora were high yielding and stable across locations and variety Gibe, Tesfa, and Negus were stable and low yielders across locations. The high yielding and stable varieties were recommendable for large scale production in southwestern part of Ethiopia. The experiment used data collected for only one season, which may limit the strength of its recommendation. However, the results are crucial in directing the breeding decision following additional season evaluation of the varieties in the same locations.

Keywords: GEI, Locations, Performance, Tef varieties.

© 2022 The Authors. Published by Innovare Academic Sciences Pvt Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>) DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.22159/ijags.2022v10i4.45084>. Journal homepage: <https://innovareacademics.in/journals/index.php/ijags>

INTRODUCTION

Tef (*Eragrostis tef* [Zucc.] Trotter) is a member of the grass family *Poaceae* and genus *Eragrostis*. The genus *Eragrostis* constitutes about 350 species of which only tef is cultivated for human consumption (Watson and Dallwitz, 1992). Fifty-four *Eragrostis* species are found in Ethiopia, out of which 14 are known to be endemic. Worldwide, Africa contributes 43% of the genus, while South America contributes 18%. Likewise, 12%, 10%, 9%, 6%, and 2% of the genus *Eragrostis* from Asia, Australia, Central America, North America, and Europe, respectively (Seyfu, 1997). Tef is an allotetraploid species with a base chromosome number of 10 ($2n = 4x = 40$) with genome size of 730 Mbp (Mulu *et al.*, 1996). It is self-pollinated with chasmogamous and hermaphroditic flowers. It has very low degree of out-crossing, that ranges from 0.2–1.0% (Seyfu, 1997). Ethiopia is the center of both the origin and diversity of tef (Vavilov, 1951), and over the years the crop species has co-evolved with Ethiopians. This is because Ethiopia harbors not only a wealth of diversity in the crop species, but also it is believed to be the center of origin for its domestication, including the existence of the possible wild progenitors. As one of the biggest genus in the grass family, the genus *Eragrostis* contains over 350 species (Watson and Dallwitz, 1992).

Tef is adaptable to a wide range of ecological conditions in altitudes ranging from near sea level to 3000 m.a.s.l. and even it can be grown in an environment unfavorable for most cereal, while the best performance occurs between 1100 and 2950 m.a.s.l. in Ethiopia (Hailu and Seyfu, 2000). It is the major food crop in Ethiopia where it is annually cultivated on more than 3 million hectares of land by 6.3 million small-scale farmers on more than 30% of the total area allocated to cereal

crops (CSA, 2014). The importance of tef in Ethiopia is mainly due to its preference by both farmers and consumers. Farmers, above all, grow tef due to its tolerance to several biotic and abiotic stress especially to the poorly drained vertisols, a dominant soil type in the central highlands where other cereals can hardly survive without the use of proper drainage system over 50 million people in Ethiopia.

Tef was produced in Southwestern Ethiopia in large amount and its productivity is highly influenced by diseases, acidity, and others (Tegegn, 2020). Head smudge is one highly affecting production and productivity of tef in southwestern part of Ethiopia. To develop varieties which were tolerant to biotic and abiotic stresses, conducting adaptation trial was important and time saving. Nationally released tef varieties were tested at different locations before large scale production. The objective of the experiment was to evaluate performance and identify high yielding and stable variety for southwestern part Ethiopia and recommend identified varieties for large scale production.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental materials

Eight nationally released tef varieties were included in the study (Table 1). The experimental materials were obtained from Debre Zeit Agricultural Research Center (DZARC).

Site description

The experiment was conducted during the 2019 main cropping season at six locations, namely: Melko (On station), Gechi, Omonada, Kersa, Somodo, and Gooma weredas of Southwestern Ethiopia (Table 2).

Data collection

Data were collected on traits such as days to heading, days to maturity, plant height (cm), panicle length (cm), lodging index, shoot biomass, and grain yield (kg)/ha. The recorded data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) as suggested by Gomez and Gomez (1984) using SAS Software (Version 9.3). Mean separation was carried out using least significant difference at 5% levels of significance.

Experimental design and management

The trial was conducted using randomized complete block design with three replications at all locations under rain-fed conditions. Sowing was done manually. Spacing between plots was 1m, whereas that between replications was 1.5 m and the total plot size was 2 m × 2 m. Seed rates were based on the recommendation which was 15 kg/ha. Planting was done on the onset of rain in the respective locations. As per the recommendations, plots were fertilized with 40 kg of N and 60 kg of P₂O₅/hectare for light soils and 60 kg N and 60 kg P₂O₅/hectare for black soils (Vertisols). All DAP was applied at planting, while urea was applied in split half at planting and the remaining half at tillering stage. All other relevant field trial management practices were carried out throughout the experimentation period across all locations as per the recommendations for the respective locations.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

ANOVA revealed the presence of significant (p<0.05) differences among traits of tef varieties tested at Kersa, Omonada, Melko, Mana, Gooma, and Gechi weredas of Southwestern part of Ethiopia during 2019 cropping season.

Table 1: Description of experimental materials used in the study

| Variety name | Year of release | Days to maturity | Grain yield (t/ha) | Remark |
|--------------|-----------------|------------------|--------------------|--------|
| Abola | 2016 | 110–118 | 2.1–2.8 | |
| Dagim | 2016 | 112–115 | 2.6–3.2 | |
| Kora | 2014 | 110–117 | 2.5–2.8 | |
| Felagot | 2017 | 108–112 | 2.2–2.9 | |
| Gibe | 1993 | 114–126 | 2.0–3.0 | |
| Heber-1 | 2017 | 112–124 | 2.2–2.7 | |
| Tesfa | 2017 | 112–120 | 2.3–3.0 | |
| Negus | 2017 | 112–116 | 2.0–2.6 | |

Days to heading and Maturity

ANOVA revealed highly significant difference (p<0.05) among the eight tef varieties in phenology trait of days to heading at Kersa, Melko and Gooma and non-significant differences (p>0.05) observed at Mana, Omonada, and Gechi weredas (Table 3). The values of days to heading ranged from 50.4 to 59.94 at Kersa and mana, respectively. Tegegn *et al.* (2020) reported highly significant variations for days to heading and maturity.

Significant differences (p<0.05) were observed among tested varieties and locations of the trait of days to maturity (Table 4). The value ranged from 102.2 to 111.2 at Mana and Omonada respectively. Variety, Felagot showed early maturity and Kora showed late maturing. Considering this trait for variety selection is very critical to select early maturing varieties for different agro-ecologies.

Many studies have indicated the presence of substantial variation among tef genotypes for different traits of tef. Tegegn (2019) reported significant variations for days to heading and maturity. Habte *et al.* (2011) reported highly significant genotype variation for days to panicle emergence and maturity, plant height, culm and panicle length, shoot biomass, grain yield, harvest index, lodging index, and thousand seed weight. Similarly, highly significant (p<0.01) genotype differences for days to panicle emergence, lodging percentage, thousands kernel weight, grain yield per plant, and grain yield per hectare were also reported by Ayalneh *et al.* (2012).

Plant and panicle length

Variety Kora, followed by Dagim exhibited longest plant height with the respective value of 111.1 and 106.1, respectively (Table 5). The values of panicle length ranged from 31.8 (24) to 43.4 (28) (Table 6). The longest plant height and panicle length recorded at Omonada and shortest at Melko. Similar results were reported by (Kebebew *et al.*, 2001b; Tegegn, 2019).

Lodging index

The highest lodging index recorded at Melko and Kersa and lowest at Gechi. The values of lodging ranged from 55.3 to 62.1. High plant lodging index of the tested varieties was due to wind and high rainfall at the time of harvesting (Table 7).

Table 2: Description of experimental sites

| Site | Altitude (m.a.s.l) | Coordinates | RF (mm) | Temperature (°C) | Soil type |
|---------|--------------------|----------------------|---------|------------------|-----------|
| Gechi | 2087 | 80° 27' N 360° 21' E | 1700 | 18 | Nitosol |
| Gooma | 1560 | 7° 51' N 36°35'E | 1764 | 19.7 | Nitosol |
| Kersa | 1780 | NA | 2000 | 20.3 | Nitosol |
| Mana | 1770 | 7° 45' N 36° 45' E | 1624 | 18.9 | Nitosol |
| Melko | 1753 | 70° 47' N 360° 47' E | 1639 | 22 | Nitosol |
| Omonada | 1975 | 70° 41' N 37° 12' E | 1600 | 20 | Nitosol |

Table 3: Mean of days to heading of tef varieties at across locations

| S. No. | Varieties | Locations | | | | | | Mean |
|--------|-----------|-----------|--------|------|--------|---------|-------|-------|
| | | Kersa | Melko | Mana | Gooma | Omonada | Gechi | |
| 1. | Dagim | 61 | 57 | 51 | 58 | 54 | 57.5 | 56.42 |
| 2. | Negus | 60 | 54 | 49 | 54 | 54 | 55.5 | 54.42 |
| 3. | Tesfa | 60 | 55.5 | 51.5 | 55.5 | 54 | 56 | 55.42 |
| 4. | Felagot | 60 | 51 | 49 | 55 | 55 | 55.5 | 54.25 |
| 5. | Abola | 59 | 54 | 50 | 56.5 | 51 | 57.5 | 54.67 |
| 6. | Heber-1 | 59.5 | 57 | 51 | 54.5 | 55 | 55.5 | 55.42 |
| 7. | Gibe | 60 | 52 | 51 | 56 | 53.5 | 57 | 54.92 |
| 8. | Kora | 60 | 57 | 50.5 | 56.5 | 54 | 55.5 | 55.58 |
| | Mean | 59.9 | 54.7 | 50.4 | 55.7 | 53.8 | 56.2 | |
| | F test | 0.001 | 0.0001 | ns | 0.0438 | ns | ns | |
| | CV (%) | 0.41 | 1.5 | 2 | 1.6 | 2.2 | 1.9 | |

ns = non significant ,CV=Coefficient of Variation

Table 4: Mean of days to maturity of eight tef varieties at across locations

| S. No. | Varieties | Locations | | | | | | Mean |
|--------|-----------|-----------|-------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|
| | | Kersa | Melko | Mana | Gooma | Omonada | Gechi | |
| 1. | Dagim | 108 | 110 | 104 | 108 | 110 | 106 | 107.7 |
| 2. | Negus | 103 | 108 | 103.5 | 108 | 111 | 106 | 106.6 |
| 3. | Tesfa | 104.5 | 110 | 103.5 | 106.5 | 111 | 106 | 106.9 |
| 4. | Felagot | 103 | 110 | 90 | 94 | 110 | 104 | 101.8 |
| 5. | Abola | 107 | 112 | 104 | 104.5 | 110 | 105 | 107.1 |
| 6. | Heber-1 | 108 | 112 | 103.5 | 105 | 113 | 115 | 109.4 |
| 7. | Gibe | 108 | 108 | 105.5 | 109 | 115 | 116 | 110.3 |
| 8. | Kora | 108 | 108 | 104 | 107 | 110 | 116 | 108.8 |
| Mean | | 106.2 | 109.7 | 102.2 | 105.2 | 111.2 | 109.2 | |
| F test | | 0.001 | ns | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.01 | 0.001 | |
| CV (%) | | 0.88 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 0.889 | 1.2 | |

ns = non significant ,CV=Coefficient of Variation

Table 5: Mean of plant height of eight tef varieties at across locations

| S. No. | Varieties | Locations | | | | | | Mean |
|--------|-----------|-----------|--------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|
| | | Kersa | Melko | Mana | Gooma | Omonada | Gechi | |
| 1. | Dagim | 101.9 | 94.2 | 106.9 | 108.1 | 124 | 101.2 | 106.1 |
| 2. | Negus | 93.7 | 88.4 | 95.2 | 96.5 | 115.2 | 87.3 | 96.1 |
| 3. | Tesfa | 87.4 | 86.1 | 102.2 | 107.8 | 107.5 | 94.5 | 97.6 |
| 4. | Felagot | 90.7 | 70.4 | 84.6 | 87.6 | 100.6 | 80.7 | 85.8 |
| 5. | Abola | 97.3 | 87.2 | 101.9 | 111.2 | 121 | 97.6 | 102.7 |
| 6. | Heber-1 | 94.8 | 99.8 | 101.2 | 119.1 | 118 | 101.6 | 105.8 |
| 7. | Gibe | 106 | 68.4 | 89.9 | 109.9 | 110 | 89.3 | 95.6 |
| 8. | Kora | 103.1 | 100.6 | 104.5 | 119.7 | 129.1 | 109.8 | 111.1 |
| Mean | | 96.8 | 86.888 | 98.3 | 107.5 | 115.6 | 95.2 | |
| F test | | ns | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | |
| CV (%) | | 14.3 | 5.4 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.1 | 3.5 | |

ns = non significant ,CV=Coefficient of Variation

Table 6: Mean of panicle length of eight tef varieties at across locations

| S. No. | Varieties | Locations | | | | | | Mean |
|--------|-----------|-----------|-------|------|-------|---------|-------|------|
| | | Kersa | Melko | Mana | Gooma | Omonada | Gechi | |
| 1. | Dagim | 38.5 | 39.7 | 43.1 | 39.4 | 47.5 | 40.2 | 41.4 |
| 2. | Negus | 35.5 | 37.2 | 37.3 | 37 | 44.8 | 37.3 | 38.2 |
| 3. | Tesfa | 31.1 | 35.7 | 37.8 | 39.6 | 39.5 | 35.4 | 36.5 |
| 4. | Felagot | 33.9 | 25.5 | 31.6 | 31.9 | 36.6 | 31.1 | 31.8 |
| 5. | Abola | 37.5 | 34.3 | 39.5 | 40.4 | 43.5 | 39.2 | 39.1 |
| 6. | Heber-1 | 35.9 | 42.5 | 44.1 | 44.5 | 45.5 | 43.3 | 42.6 |
| 7. | Gibe | 42.7 | 29.8 | 39.3 | 44.2 | 42.6 | 39.5 | 39.7 |
| 8. | Kora | 39.2 | 41.7 | 44.7 | 42.5 | 47.3 | 45.1 | 43.4 |
| Mean | | 36.8 | 35.8 | 39.6 | 39.9 | 43.4 | 38.8 | |
| F test | | ns | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.001 | 0.01 | 0.001 | |
| CV (%) | | 8.6 | 6.8 | 5.7 | 2.4 | 4.5 | 3.4 | |

ns = non significant ,CV=Coefficient of Variation

Table 7: Mean of lodging index of eight tef varieties at across locations

| S. No. | Varieties | Locations | | | | | | Mean |
|--------|-----------|-----------|-------|------|-------|---------|-------|------|
| | | Kersa | Melko | Mana | Gooma | Omonada | Gechi | |
| 1. | Dagim | 56.5 | 73 | 57 | 49 | 50.5 | 45.5 | 55.3 |
| 2. | Negus | 61.5 | 69 | 59.5 | 52 | 59.5 | 52 | 58.9 |
| 3. | Tesfa | 62 | 65 | 48 | 47 | 60.5 | 57 | 56.6 |
| 4. | Felagot | 62 | 73 | 54.5 | 70 | 61.5 | 51.5 | 62.1 |
| 5. | Abola | 62 | 63 | 56 | 52.5 | 59 | 46.5 | 56.5 |
| 6. | Heber-1 | 54.5 | 67.5 | 56 | 45.5 | 50 | 57.5 | 55.2 |
| 7. | Gibe | 51.5 | 70 | 59.5 | 81.5 | 51 | 55 | 61.4 |
| 8. | Kora | 55.5 | 73.5 | 55 | 41.5 | 69.5 | 59 | 59.0 |
| Mean | | 58.2 | 69.2 | 55.6 | 54.8 | 57.7 | 53 | |
| F test | | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.03 | |
| CV (%) | | 3.8 | 2.4 | 4.7 | 4.4 | 4.3 | 6.6 | |

ns = non significant ,CV=Coefficient of Variation

Table 8: Mean of shoot biomass of eight tef varieties at across locations (kg/ha)

| S. No. | Varieties | Locations | | | | | | Mean |
|--------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|--------|---------|-------|--------|
| | | Kersa | Melko | Mana | Gooma | Omonada | Gechi | |
| 1. | Dagim | 2250 | 1875 | 3875 | 4375 | 4500 | 4525 | 3566.7 |
| 2. | Negus | 1500 | 1690 | 4000 | 3250 | 6125 | 5875 | 3740.0 |
| 3. | Tesfa | 2125 | 3005 | 3250 | 3375 | 5125 | 4625 | 3584.2 |
| 4. | Felagot | 2250 | 3375 | 3500 | 2750 | 3375 | 5875 | 3520.8 |
| 5. | Abola | 2750 | 4125 | 3625 | 3250 | 5750 | 4875 | 4062.5 |
| 6. | Heber-1 | 2625 | 3940 | 4125 | 2875 | 4375 | 6125 | 4010.8 |
| 7. | Gibe | 2250 | 3375 | 2625 | 3625 | 4250 | 3250 | 3229.2 |
| 8. | Kora | 2125 | 3150 | 3625 | 3000 | 4250 | 5250 | 3566.7 |
| Mean | | 2234.3 | 3066.8 | 3578.1 | 3312.5 | 4718.7 | 5050 | |
| F test | | ns | 0.001 | ns | 0.01 | 0.001 | 0.001 | |
| CV (%) | | 14.5 | 5.5 | 12.5 | 7.9 | 8.5 | 5.3 | |

ns = non significant ,CV=Coefficient of Variation

Table 9: Mean grain yield of eight tef varieties grain yield (kg/ha)

| S. No. | Varieties | Locations | | | | | | Mean | Overall rank |
|--------|-----------|-----------|-------|-------|-------|---------|--------|-------|--------------|
| | | Kersa | Melko | Mana | Gooma | Omonada | Gechi | | |
| 1. | Dagim | 460 | 395 | 1100 | 865 | 745 | 1387.5 | 825.4 | 2 |
| 2. | Negus | 385 | 475 | 1000 | 565 | 740 | 1500 | 777.5 | 4 |
| 3. | Tesfa | 440 | 330 | 625 | 595 | 515 | 1375 | 646.7 | 7 |
| 4. | Felagot | 830 | 635 | 750 | 595 | 570 | 1375 | 792.5 | 3 |
| 5. | Abola | 605 | 455 | 900 | 705 | 640 | 1250 | 759.2 | 6 |
| 6. | Heber-1 | 759.25 | 570 | 850 | 645 | 655 | 1125 | 767.4 | 5 |
| 7. | Gibe | 573.1 | 460 | 625 | 775 | 420 | 625 | 579.7 | 8 |
| 8. | Kora | 633.05 | 475 | 1125 | 755 | 715 | 1250 | 825.5 | 1 |
| Mean | | 585.7 | 474.4 | 871.8 | 687.5 | 625 | 1235.9 | 746.7 | |
| F test | | 0.001 | 0.01 | 0.001 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.001 | | |
| CV (%) | | 8.7 | 10.6 | 10.8 | 9.7 | 11.9 | 10.6 | | |

ns = non significant ,CV=Coefficient of Variation

Shoot biomass

The varieties exhibited different biomass yield (kg/ha) that ranged from 3229.2 kg to 4062.5 with mean yield of 5250kg/ha. The variety, Abola exhibited high and Gibe low mean above ground biomass respectively. Comparing above ground biomass across locations, high above ground biomass was harvested from Omonada and the lowest from Kersa (Table 8).

Grain yield

ANOVA revealed the presence of significant ($p < 0.01$) differences in tef grain yield among eight tef varieties tested at Kersa, Omonada, Melko, Mana, Gooma, and Gechi 2019 cropping season. This indicated the presence of performance variation among the tested varieties for grain yield and it is possible to identify high yielder varieties for possible use in these locations. The popular variety Dagim, ranked seventh at Melko, fifth at Kersa, second at Mana, and first at Gechi, Omonada and Gooma. This rank change of the same variety over locations by the same trait is the consequence of the highly significant GEI. Mean yields of varieties across environments ranged from 474.3 kga/ha to 1235.94 kg/ha with mean grain yield of 746.7 kg/ha. In general, varieties Dagim and Kora were high yielding and stable across locations and variety Gibe, Tesfa and Negus were stable and low yielders across locations (Table 9).

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

Tef is adaptable to a wide range of ecological conditions in altitudes ranging from near sea level to 3000 m.a.s.l. The objective of the experiment was to identify high yielding and stable varieties across locations. ANOVA revealed the presence of significant ($p < 0.05$) differences among eight tef varieties across locations. Tef varieties Dagim and Kora were high yielding and stable across locations and variety Gibe, Tesfa, and Negus were stable and low yielders across

locations. The high yielding and stable varieties were recommendable for large scale production in southwestern part of Ethiopia. The experiment used data collected for only one season, which may limit the strength of its recommendation. However, the results are crucial in directing the breeding decision following additional season evaluation of the varieties in the same locations.

REFERENCES

- Ayalneh T, Habtamu Z, Amsalu A. Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance in tef [*Eragrostis tef* (Zucc.) Trotter] lines in Sinana and Adaba. *Int J Plant Breed Genet* 2012;6:40-6.
- Gomez KA, Gomez AA. *Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research*. New York: John Wiley; 1984. p. 1029-34.
- Hailu T, Seyfu K. Production and importance of tef in Ethiopia Agriculture. In: Tefera H, Belay G, Sorrels M, editors. *Narrowing the Rift: Tef Research and Development Proceedings of the International Tef Genetics and Improvement*, 16-19 October 2000, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia; 2000.
- Jifar H, Endashaw B, Kebebew A. Genetic variability in released tef [*Eragrostis tef* (Zucc.) Trotter] varieties of Ethiopia. *Crop Science Society of Ethiopia (CSSE)*. Sebil Vol. 13. In: *Proceedings of the Thirteenth Biennial Conferences*, 31st Dec. 2008 to 21ld Jan. 2009. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia; 2011.
- Kebebew A, Hailu T, Arnulf M, Tiruneh K, Fufa H. Variability, heritability and genetic advance in pheno-morphic and agronomic traits of tef [*Eragrostis tef* (Zucc.) Trotter] germplasm from eight regions of Ethiopia. *tef [Eragrostis tef (Zucc.) Trotter] germplasm from eight regions of Ethiopia*. *Hereditas* 2001b;134:103-13.
- Mulu A, Dolezel J, van Duren M, Brunner H, Zapata-Arias FJ. Flow cytometric analysis of nuclear genome of the Ethiopian cereal tef [*Eragrostis tef* (Zucc.) Trotter]. *Genetica* 1996;98:211-5.
- Seyfu K. Tef. (*Eragrostis tef* (Zucc.) Trotter) Promoting the Conservation and Use of Underutilized and Neglected Crops. Institute of Plant Genetics and Crop Plant Research, Gatersleben (International Plant Genetic Resources Institute), Rome, Italy; 1997.

- Tegegn B, Leta T, Tsegau S. Performance evaluation of released tef variety, kora at different locations of Southwestern Ethiopia. *Int J Res Agron* 2020;3:42-4.
- Tegegn B. Genotype by Environment Interaction and Stability Analysis of Tef (*Eragrostis tef* (Zucc.) Trotter) Varieties for Yield and Yield Related Traits in South and Southwestern Ethiopia, MSc. Thesis, College of Agriculture and Veterinary Medicine, Jima University, Jima, Ethiopia; 2019.
- Tegegn B. Tef (*Eragrostis tef* (Zucc.) Trotter) breeding, achievements, challenges and opportunities in Ethiopia; incase Southwestern Ethiopia: Review. *J Genet Environ Resour Conserv* 2020;8:18-31.
- Vavilov I. In: Starrchester K, editor. *The Origin, Variation, Immunity and Breeding of Cultivated Plants*. New York: Ronald Press; 1951.
- Watson L, Dallwitz MJ. *The Grass Genus of the World*. Wallingford, Oxon, UK: CAB International; 1992.
- Central Statistical Agency. *Agricultural Sample Survey for 2013/14. Statistical Bulletin 532*. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia: Central Statistical Agency; 2014.