

**Original Article**

**A CROSS-SECTIONAL STUDY TO ASSESS THE PHARMACOTHERAPEUTIC ADHERENCE IN PATIENTS ATTENDING THE OUTPATIENT DEPARTMENT OF RESPIRATORY MEDICINE IN A TERTIARY CARE HOSPITAL**

**VIRENDRA KUSHWAHA<sup>1</sup>, POOJA AGRAWAL<sup>1</sup>, MANGESH KUMAR TRIPATHI<sup>1\*</sup>, ANAND KUMAR<sup>2</sup>, AMIT KUMAR VERMA<sup>1</sup>, HIMANSHU SHARMA<sup>1</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pharmacology, GSVM Medical College, Kanpur, 208002, India, <sup>2</sup>Department of Respiratory Medicine, GSVM Medical College, Kanpur, 208002, India  
Email: dr.mangeshtripathi90@gmail.com

Received: 10 Feb 2022, Revised and Accepted: 02 Apr 2022

**ABSTRACT**

**Objective:** Nonadherence to anti-TB treatment may result in the emergence of multidrug-resistant TB, prolonged infectiousness, and poor tuberculosis treatment outcomes. Underuse, overuse, and improper use continue to be the most common causes of poor adherence to Bronchial Asthma therapy. The objective of the study was to determine respiratory disease medication adherence in India.

**Methods:** Hospital-based cross-sectional study design was conducted from Feb 2020 to July 2021. The data was collected by interviewing respiratory patients receiving Tuberculosis and Bronchial Asthma medications using Morisky's four-item scale questionnaire. The data were analyzed with a chi-square test.

**Results:** From the total 203 patients of respiratory diseases, of which 180 tuberculosis patients, when asked about adherence to their medications: 128 (71.11%) of them did not forget to take the drugs, 130 (72.22%) of patients reported that they had been being careful in taking their medications, 140 (77.77%), 130 (72.22%) patients did not stop medications when they felt better and when they felt worse while taking medications respectively while in 23 patients of bronchial asthma, when asked about adherence to their medications: 14 (60.86%) of them did not forget to take the drugs, 9 (39.13%) of patients reported that they had been being careful in taking their medications, 8 (34.78%), 11(47.82%) patients did not stop medications when they felt better and when they felt worse while taking medications respectively.

**Conclusion:** This study revealed that 77.83% of patients were adherent while 22.16% of patients were non-adherent to the medication, of which total adherence in Tuberculosis patients was 85.52% while in Bronchial asthma patients, it was 34.78%.

**Keywords:** Respiratory diseases, Medication adherence, Compliance, Morisky's scale

© 2022 The Authors. Published by Innovare Academic Sciences Pvt Ltd. This is an open-access article under the CC BY license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)  
DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.22159/ijpps.2022v14i6.44378>. Journal homepage: <https://innovareacademics.in/journals/index.php/ijpps>.

**INTRODUCTION**

According to WHO (2003), adherence to treatment is "the extent to which a patient's behavior taking medication, following a diet, or making healthy lifestyle changes, corresponds with agreed-upon recommendations from a health care provider [1]".

Though the terms adherence and compliance are synonymously used, there is a fine difference between these two terms.

Compliance is the extent to which a patient's behavior matches the prescriber's advice [2]. Compliance implies the degree to which the patient conforms to medical advice about lifestyle and dietary changes as well as to keeping appointments for follow-up and taking treatment as prescribed. In a more direct form, we can say that compliance implies patient obedience to the physician's authority. On the other hand, adherence signifies that the patient and physician collaborate to improve the patient's health by integrating the physician's medical opinion and the patient's lifestyle, values, and preferences for care. Adherence is an active choice of patients to follow the prescribed treatment while taking responsibility for their well-being.

Adherence is a more positive, proactive behavior which results in a lifestyle change by the patient, who must follow a daily regimen. In contrast, compliance is a passive behavior exhibited by a patient who is simply 'doing as told' or following a list of instructions given by the treating doctor.

In terms of TB control, treatment adherence may be defined as the extent to which the patient's history of therapeutic drug-taking coincides with the prescribed treatment.

Assessing and understanding patient adherence in the management of asthma and COPD requires an appreciation of the diversity and complexity of adherence behavior.

In general, medical adherence is better for drugs that provide symptom relief as opposed to ones used to treat a generally asymptomatic condition. For example, chronic conditions [such as COPD, Tuberculosis, and Bronchial Asthma] are common disease characteristics with few symptoms and poor adherence, particularly when the treatment is long term, involves multiple medications taken concurrently, several times daily.

This problem can be overcome by simplifying the therapeutic regimens (for example, the use of extended-release formulation and/or fixed-dose combination finish pharmaceutical products). Furthermore, medication side effects remain a significant issue.

Respiratory diseases are the most common diseases for which patients visit doctors in India. A better understanding of respiratory disease patterns and respiratory symptoms will help determine appropriate health care management strategies and set up necessary health care services of the total respiratory diseases in India, the prevalence of the diseases are COPD (29.6%), Tuberculosis (TB) (23%), Asthma (22.5%), and Allergic Rhinitis (8.4%) [3].

In 2020, an estimated 10 million people developed active TB, resulting in 1.5 million deaths and making it the second leading cause of death from an infectious disease after COVID-19 [4]. India accounts for a quarter of the global TB burden, with an estimated 10 million cases in the year 2020.

The majority of the TB burden is among the working-age group. 89% of TB cases come from the age group of 15-69 y. Uttar Pradesh,

with 17% of the population of the country, is the largest contributor to the TB cases in with 20% of the total notifications [5].

Globally, asthma is ranked 16th among the leading causes of years lived with disability and 28th among the leading causes of burden of disease. The highest prevalence ( $\geq 20\%$ ) was generally observed in English-speaking countries of Australia, Europe, North America, and parts of Latin America. The lowest prevalence  $< 5\%$  is observed in Indian subcontinents [6].

Each chronic disease presents its constellation of adherence problems. The adherence strategies for respiratory diseases illustrates disease-specific risk factors for nonadherence and shows how pharmaceutical care services can enhance adherence.

Pharmacotherapeutic adherence to Respiratory diseases, especially in India is still a major problem and Non-adherence to treatment is an important and often unrecognized risk factor that contributes to reduced control of respiratory diseases.

Pharmacotherapeutic Adherence of Respiratory diseases is not widely studied in India.

Therefore, this study is undertaken to observe the Pharmacotherapeutic Adherence in Patients Attending out Patient Department of Respiratory Medicine in G. S. V. M Medical College.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Study setting

The study was conducted by the department of pharmacology in collaboration with the Department of Respiratory medicine at a tertiary care teaching hospital over 18 mo commencing from February 2020 to July 2021.

### Study design

A cross-sectional, observational study.

### Source of data

The patients who attended the outpatient clinic of Dr. Murarilal Chest Hospital, GSVM Medical College, Kanpur (U. P.) diagnosed with COPD, Tuberculosis, Bronchial Asthma, and other Respiratory diseases were included in this study.

### Selection of study subject

Patients were included after evaluating for inclusion and exclusion criteria. The written informed consent was taken from each patient before enrollment in the study.

### Inclusion criteria

All patients of either Sex, Age of  $> 18$  y and have been diagnosed with COPD, Tuberculosis Asthma, and other respiratory diseases. Patients who are on prescription medications

for respiratory diseases and taking medication for more than 1 mo. Patients are willing to participate.

### Exclusion criteria

Patients of age  $< 18$  y were excluded. Patients having other medical or Psychiatric comorbidities were excluded. Pregnant and lactating females.

### Methods

This study was a community-based cross-sectional, Observational study. All patients with Respiratory diseases fulfilling inclusion and exclusion criteria attending the Respiratory medicine OPD were included in the study. 252 patients were screened and among them, 230 patients were eligible for our study. Out of 230 patients, 27 patients did not give consent. Finally, 203 patients were enrolled in our study.

Respiratory diseases also included COPD and Allergic Rhinitis but the management of COPD was mainly IPD and allergic rhinitis has short-term management, which is also treated in other opds hence we have only included patients with Tuberculosis and Bronchial Asthma in our study.

The selected patients were interviewed regarding their socio-demographic characteristics, income, frequency of drug intake, and reasons for no adherence to medication by using a preformed questionnaire. The study subjects were grouped into the socioeconomic classes modified kuppuswamy scale for urban population and modified B. G. Prasad scale for the rural population.

It also included questions to test their knowledge and beliefs of COPD, Tuberculosis Asthma, and other respiratory ailments and the use of oral anti-tubercular drugs and antiasthmatic drugs with other respiratory ailments.

The questions were translated to the patients in the Hindi Language.

Before assessment of adherence in patients, we excluded the patients suffering from depression, anxiety, and stress as per the DASS scale. Adherence was assessed through the specific four questionnaires of Morisky's adherence scale that has high reliability and validity, and the patient is considered to be adherent if he/she answered no to all questions.

### Statistical analysis

The data was compiled and converted in the form of tables and graphs. Statistical analysis was done using the Chi-square test and a p-value of 0.05 or less was considered statistically significant.

## RESULTS

The present study was conducted at the Pharmacology Department in collaboration with the Department of Respiratory medicine in G. S. V. M Medical College.

**Table 1: Socio-demographic variables and knowledge of patients in Tuberculosis patients, Tuberculosis**

		N= 180	percentage
Gender	Male	152	84.44%
	Female	28	15.55%
Age group	18-40 y	124	68.88%
	41-60 y	36	20%
	>60 y	20	11.11%
Residence	urban	150	83.33%
	Rural	30	16.66%
	Total	180	
Socioeconomic status Kuppuswamy scale (Urban)	I	0	0%
	II	115	63.88%
	III	30	16.66%
	IV	20	11.11%
	V	0	0%
Socioeconomic status B G Prasad Scale (Rural)	I	9	5%
	II	6	3.33%
Knowledge about disease	knowledgeable	150	83.33%
	Not knowledgeable	30	16.66%
	Total		
Knowledge about medication	knowledgeable	144	80%
	Not knowledgeable	36	20%
	Total		

N= Number of patients

This study has been conducted on 203 patients diagnosed with Respiratory diseases like Tuberculosis and Bronchial Asthma. Out of 203 patients, 180 were Tuberculosis patients and 23 were Bronchial Asthma Patients.

From the above table, it can be concluded that a total of 180 Tuberculosis patients were involved in this study. The response rate was 100%. Among the total 180 patients, 152 (84.44%) were males and 28 (15.55%) were females. The majority of patients were of age group 18-40 y i.e. 68.88%. Patients of age group 41-60 y were 20% and patients of

age group >60 y were 11.11%. A large proportion of patients 150 (83.33%) were urban with the residence. As shown in the table, among the urban population as per the kuppuswamy classification, the majority of the patients i.e. 115 (63.88%) belong to socioeconomic class II. 16.66% belong to socio-economic class III. 11.11% belongs to class IV. In the rural population as per B. G. Prasad classification, mostly patients i.e. 5% belong to socioeconomic class I and 3.33% belong to class II.

Most of the respondents were knowledgeable on Tuberculosis (83.33%) and their medications (16.66%).

**Table 2: Morisky's instrument: question-wise percentage of medication adherence**

S. No.	Four questions patient's questionnaire (Morisky's Instrument)	No. of patients who said "no"	Percentage (%)
1	Do you sometimes forget to take your Medication?	128	71.11%
2	Were u careless at times about taking your medications?	130	72.22%
3	When you felt better did you sometimes stop taking your medication?	140	77.77%
4	Sometimes if you felt worse when you took your medicine did you stop taking it	130	72.22%
	The number of patients who said 'No' to all four questions was considered adherent to the prescribed anti-tubercular medications.	150	83.33%

From the patients of tuberculosis, when asked about adherence to their medication as per Morisky's four-item method, 128 (71.11%) of them did not forget to take the drugs, 130(72.22%) of patients reported that they had been being careful in taking their medication, 140(77.77%) patients did not stop medications when they felt better and the other 130 (72.22%) patients reported that they did not stop medications when they felt

worse while taking medications (table 2). Concerning the overall adherence status, this study shows that 150 (83.33%) respondents were adherent to their medications and 30 (16.66%) of them were non-adherent. The adherence status of each patient was determined based on his/her response to four adherence questions. Patients who replied "no" to all four questions were categorized as adherent.

**Table 3: Patients distribution according to adherence and non-adherence level in different variables among tuberculosis patients**

		Tuberculosis		Adherence	% of adherence	Total	Chi square	p-value
		non-adherence	% of non-adherence					
Gender	Male	22	14.47%	130	85.52%	152	3.383	0.6586
	Female	8	28.57%	20	71.43%	28		
	Total	30	16.66%	150	83.33%	180		
Age group	18-40 y	19	15.32%	105	84.67%	124	0.5213	0.706
	41-60 y	7	19.44%	29	80.56%	36		
	>60 y	4	20%	16	80%	20		
	Total	30	16.66%	150	83.33%	180		
Residence	urban	12	8%	138	92%	150	48.67	0.0001
	Rural	18	60%	12	40%	30		
Socioeconomic status Kuppuswamy scale (Urban)	I	0	0%	0	0%	0	40.52	0.000001
	II	5	16.66%	110	73.33%	115		
	III	10	33.33%	20	13.33%	30		
	IV	10	33.33%	10	6.66%	20		
	V	0	0%	0	0%	0		
	Total	25	83.33%	140	93.33%	165		
Socioeconomic status B G prasad scale (Rural)	I	3	10%	6	4%	9	0	1
	II	2	6.66%	4	2.66%	6		
	Total	5	16.66%	10	6.66%	15		
Knowledge about disease	knowledgeable	18	60%	132	88%	150	14.11	0.0001722
	Not knowledgeable	12	40%	18	12%	30		
	Total	30	16.66%	150	83.33%	180		
Knowledge about medication	knowledgeable	16	53.33%	128	85.33%	144	16	0.0000633
	Not knowledgeable	14	46.66%	22	14.66%	36		
	Total	30	16.66%	150	83.33%	180		

Prevalence of non-adherence and adherence according to Morisky's scale was 22(14.47%) and 130(85.52%) respectively in males; 28.57% and 71.43% in females but the difference was not statistically significant ( $p>0.05$ ). 18-40 y of age group had non-adherence i.e. 15.32% and adherence 84.67%, 41-60 y of age group had non-adherence i.e. 19.44% and adherence i.e. 80.56%, >60 y of age group had non-adherence i.e. 20% and adherence 80% but the difference was not statistically significant ( $P>0.05$ ). As per the urban residence

population had non-adherence of 8% and adherence i.e. 92%, while the rural population had non-adherence 60% and adherence 40% here, the difference was statistically significant ( $p<0.05$ ). Among the urban population, as shown in the table as per kuppuswamy classification, the majority of patients belonging to socio-economic class II had a non-adherence level 16.66%, class III had non-adherence level 33.33% and class IV had a non-adherence level 33.33% while adherence level in class II, III and IV was 73.33%, 13.33% and 6.66%

which was statistically significant ( $p < 0.05$ ). In the rural population as per B. G. Prasad classification class, I had an adherence level of 10% and class II had a non-adherence level of 6.66%, while adherence level in classes I and II was 4% and 2.66% which was not statistically significant ( $p > 0.05$ ).

Most of the respondents were knowledgeable in their medications. These 53.33% of patients were non-adherent, and 85.33% of patients were adherent to medications. Those patients who were not knowledgeable they show non-adherence 46.66% and adherence 14.66%. Here the difference was statistically significant ( $p < 0.05$ ).

**Table 4: Socio-demographic variables and knowledge of patients in Bronchial Asthma patients**

		Percentage
Gender	Male	15(65.21%)
	Female	8(34.78%)
Age group	18-40 y	3(13.04%)
	41-60 y	6(26.08%)
	>60 y	14(60.86%)
	Total	11(47.82%)
Residence	Urban	11(47.82%)
	Rural	12(52.18%)
Socioeconomic status Kuppuswamy scale (Urban)	Total	0
	I	6(26.08%)
	II	6(26.08%)
	III	6(26.08%)
	IV	6(26.08%)
Socioeconomic status BG Prasad Scale (Rural)	V	0
	I	3(13.04%)
	II	2(8.69%)
	Knowledge about disease	65.21%
	Not knowledgeable	34.78%
Knowledge about medication	Total	65.21%
	knowledgeable	65.21%
	Not knowledgeable	34.78%
Total		34.78%

From the above table, it can be concluded that a total of 23 Asthmatic patients were involved in this study. The response rate was 100%. Among the total of 23 respondents, 15 (65.21%) were males and 8(34.78%) were females. The majority of patients were of age group >60 y i.e. 60.86%, patients of age group 41-60 y i.e. 26.08%, and patients of age group 18-40 y were 13.04%. A large proportion of patients were rural 12(52.18%) residents. As shown in the table,

among the urban population as per the kuppuswamy scale an equal number of patients belongs to socio-economic classes II, III, and IV, respectively. In the rural population as per B. G. Prasad classification, 13.04% belong to group I and 8.69% belong to group II.

Most of the respondents were knowledgeable on Bronchial Asthma 65.21% and their medications 65.21%.

**Table 5: Morisky's instrument: Question wise percentage of medication adherence**

S. No.	4 question patient's questionnaire (Morisky's Instrument)	No. of patients who said "no"	Percentage (%)
1	Do you sometimes forget to take your Medication?	14	60.86%
2	Were u careless at times about taking your medications?	9	39.13%
3	When you felt better did you sometimes stop taking your medication?	8	34.78%
4	Sometimes if you felt worse when you took your medicine, did you stop taking it	11	47.82%
The number of patients who said 'No' to all four questions was considered adherent to the prescribed anti-asthmatic medications		8	34.78%

From the patients with Bronchial asthma, when asked about adherence to their medication as per Morisky's four-item method, 14 (60.86%) of them did not forget to take the medications, 9 (39.13%) of patients reported that they has been careful in taking their medications, 8 (34.78%) patients did not stop medications when they felt better and the other 11(47.82%) patients reported that they did not stop medications when they felt worse while

taking medications (table 5). Concerning the overall adherence status, this study shows that 34.78% of the respondents were adherent to their medications, while 65.21% of them were non-adherent to medications. The adherence status of each patient was determined based on his/her response to four adherence questions. Patients who replied "no" to all four questions were categorized as adherent.

**Table 6: Patients distribution according to adherence and non-adherence level in different variables among asthmatic patients**

		Bronchial asthma		Adherence	% of adherence	Total	Chi-square	P-value
		Non-adherence	% of non-adherence					
Gender	Male	10	66.66%	5	33.34%	15	0.03993	0.8416
	Female	5	62.50%	3	37.50%	8		
	Total	15	65.27%	8	34.78%	23		
Age group	18-40 y	1	33.33%	2	66.66%	3	1.588	0.4520
	41-60 y	4	66.66%	2	33.33%	6		
	>60 y	10	71.42%	4	28.57%	14		
	Total	15	65.21%	8	34.78%	23		

		Bronchial asthma				Total	Chi-square	P-value
		Non-adherence	% of non-adherence	Adherence	% of adherence			
Residence	Urban	5	45.45%	6	54.55%	11	3.63	0.05675
	Rural	10	83.33%	2	16.67%	12		
	Total	15	65.21%	8	34.78%	23		
Socioeconomic status Kuppuswamy scale (Urban)	I	0	0%	0	0%	0	1.50	0.4724
	II	3	20%	3	37.50%	6		
	III	4	26.66%	2	25%	6		
	IV	5	33.33%	1	12.50%	6		
	V	0	0%	0	0%	0		
	Total	12	80%	6	75%	18		
Socioeconomic status BG Prasad Scale (Rural)	I	2	13.33%	1	12.50%	3	0.1389	0.7094
	II	1	6.66%	1	12.50%	2		
	Total	3	20%	2	25%	5		
Knowledge about disease	knowledgeable	9	60%	6	75%	15	0.5175	0.4719
	Not knowledgeable	6	40%	2	25%	8		
	Total	15	65.21%	8	34.78%	23		
Knowledge about medication	knowledgeable	10	66.66%	5	62.50%	15	0.03993	0.8416
	Not knowledgeable	5	33.33%	3	37.50%	8		
	Total	15	65.21%	8	34.78%	23		

Prevalence of non-adherence and adherence according to Morisky's scale was 10(66.66%) and 5(33.34%) in males; 5(65.27%) and 3 (37.50%) in females, But the difference was not statistically significant ( $p>0.05$ ).

>60 y age group had non-adherence i.e., 71.42% and adherence i.e., 28.57%, 41-60 y age group had non-adherence 66.66% and adherence 33.33% while 18-40 y had non-adherence 33.33% and adherence 66.66%, But the difference was not statistically significant ( $p>0.05$ ).

As per residence urban population had non-adherence of 45.45% and adherence of 54.55% and the rural population had 83.33% non-adherence and 16.66% adherence, but the difference was not statistically significant ( $p>0.05$ ).

Among the urban population, as seen in the table as per kuppuswamy classification, the majority of patients belonging to socio-economic class IV had a non-adherence 33.33%, class III had a non-adherence 26.66% and class II had a non-adherence of 20%.

Class II had an adherence level i.e. 37.50%, class III had an adherence level of 25% and class IV had an adherence level of 12.50%, but the difference was not statistically significant ( $p>0.05$ ).

In the rural population as per B. G. Prasad classification class, I had a non-adherence level of 13.33% and class II had a non-adherence level of 6.66%, and adherence level in class I i.e. 12.50%, and in-class II i.e. 12.50% but the difference was not statistically significant ( $p>0.05$ ).

Most of the respondents were knowledgeable about their medication. This 66.66% of patients were non-adherent and 62.50% were adherent. Those patients who were not knowledgeable they show 33.33% non-adherence and 37.50% adherence but the difference was not statistically significant ( $p>0.05$ ).




Fig. 1: Percentage of adherence in different variables among tuberculosis, bronchial asthma, and overall




Fig. 2: Percentage of adherence in different variables among tuberculosis, bronchial asthma, and overall

Table 7: Reasons of pharmacotherapeutic non-adherence

S. No.	4 question patient's questionnaire (Morisky's instrument)	No. of patients who said "no"	Percentage (%)	No. of patients who said "yes"	Percentage (%)
1	Do you sometimes forget to take your Medication?	180	88.66%	23	11.33%
2	Were u careless at times about taking your medications?	182	89.65%	21	10.34%
3	When you felt better, did you sometimes stop taking your medication?	190	93.59%	13	6.40%
4	Sometimes if you felt worse when you took your medicine, did you stop taking it	193	95.07%	10	4.92%
	The number of patients who said No to all 4 questions was considered adherent to the prescribed	158	77.83%	45	22.16%

After going through the above table 7 it revealed that the reasons for non-adherence out of 203 enrolled patients were as follows 23 (11.33%) patients said that they stopped/missed the medication because of forgetfulness; 21 (10.34%) patients said that they stopped/missed the medication because of carelessness; 13 (6.40%) patients said that they stopped/missed the medication when he or she felt better; 10(4.92%) patients said that they stopped/missed the medication when he or she felt worse while taking medicines.

The number of patients who said 'Yes' to one or more than one of four questions was considered non-adherent to the prescribed treatment.

**Prevalence of medication adherence in patients**

Among the total 203 patients, 158(77.83%) were considered adherent according to Morisky's instrument while 45(22.16%) patients were non-adherent.




Fig. 3: Respondent's level of medication adherence among tuberculosis, Asthma, and overall disease

**DISCUSSION**

The prevalence of average adherence to medications in our study was 83.33% in Tuberculosis and 34.78% in Asthma. The prevalence of adherence to medications in males was 80.83% in comparison to females 63.88% was good. This finding is in line with most of the previous studies Manjusa Sajith *et al.* (Pune) [7], Jemal A *et al.* (Eastern Ethiopia) [8]. Most females are less educated in comparison to males; they have limited knowledge towards their disease, medications, and complications related to discontinuation of drugs leads to their being careless. And because of less literacy, they are unable to understand dosing, and frequency of drug intake.

The effect of gender on the rate of adherence to medication in other research studies is contradictory. Chythra R Rao *et al.* (Karnataka) [9], Belayneh Kefale Gelaw *et al.* (Ethiopia) [10], Tarig Mohammed El Haydiah *et al.* (Sudan) [11] reported female patients were found by some researchers to have better adherence. Compliance to treatment was better among females as compared to males but gender was not identified as a significant variable. More women were likely to report that they found it difficult to visit the hospital as they lost wages or were not permitted to leave from the work. Inability to visit health facilities during working days may have contributed to keeping men away from health facilities; the reason for the study population being predominantly women. In our study, adherence in Tuberculosis and Bronchial asthma patients is higher in the age group 18-40 y. This finding is in line with the most previous studies A Kamran *et al.* in Ardabil city (Iran) [12], Targi Mohammed El Hadiyah *et al.* (Sudan) [11] reported higher adherence among people above 60 y of age and in 30-39 y. Aymen Elsous *et al.* [13] reported old age (>60 y) was a predictor of good adherence. It is also important to note that the social support system among families to take full responsibility for routine medications for the elderly is contributory to good medication adherence. However, Tuberculosis drug therapy is more complex and patients are required to have more complex cognitive skills to be able to understand the prescribed drug therapy and to adhere to treatment for the good result, which could be the reason for adherence in the age group of 18-40 y as shown in our study.

In our study Bronchial Asthma patients with pharmacotherapeutic adherence with the duration of Bronchial asthma <5 y (47.82%), 6-10 y (21.73%), and >10 y (30.43%) were observed which was in line with Jemal A *et al.* (Eastern Ethiopia) [8], Beayneh Kefale Gelaw *et al.* (Ethiopia) [10]. This finding was consistent with the study from UAE and India, indicating a negative relationship between the duration of Bronchial Asthma and patient adherence to drug therapy. In our study, Knowledge about the disease and medications in patients show pharmacotherapeutic adherence 82.27% and 83.54%, respectively, which is statistically significant regarding knowledge on disease ( $p < 0.05$ ) and statistically non-significant regarding knowledge on medications ( $p > 0.05$ ). Knowledge of the disease and its medications was found to be positively associated with the adherence level of patients. Manjusa Sajith *et al.* (Pune) [7], Mastewal Abebaw *et al.* (Northwest Ethiopia) [14], Vincent Boima *et al.* (Ghana and Nigeria) [15], Heloisa Turcatto Gimens *et al.* (Southeastern Brazil) [16], Khaled Heissamet *et al.* (Egypt) [17] have reported that knowledge patients were found to be significantly associated with higher adherence rate. The possible justification of why people with better knowledge were adherent could be the right knowledge about the disease and its medications which creates a clear understanding and avoids confusion about the treatment and the disease condition. But people with wrong/poor knowledge may reach with wrong decisions. Fatmah Alsolami *et al.* (Saudi Arabia) [18] reported no statistically significant association between knowledge of disease and its treatment and medication adherence. This is contrary to the findings from another study which found that knowledge is a significant predictor of treatment adherence.

In our study of urban patients, 89.33% were more adherent to medications in respect to rural patients 33.33%. Manjusa Sajith *et al.* (Pune) [7] reported that place of residence is an important determinant where 37.14% of patients of urban have shown a higher rate of adherence compared to a rural area. This is because those in rural residencies are more likely to give low attention to their medication being more distant from the health care setting and are less likely to seek health information compared to urban residents. In our study, among 203 patients, upper-middle-class patients as per modified kuppusswamy classification of socioeconomic status, were more pharmacotherapeutic adherent (71.51%) in comparison to other classes (Lower middle class i.e. 13.92%) and upper lower class (6.96%).

In Tuberculosis patients, upper-middle-class patients as per modified kuppusswamy classification of socioeconomic status were more pharmacotherapeutic adherent (73.33%) in comparison to other classes (Lower middle class 13.33%, upper lower class 6.66%). In bronchial asthma patients, upper-middle-class patients as per modified kuppusswamy classification of socioeconomic status, were more pharmacotherapeutic adherent (37.50%) compared to other classes (Lower middle class 25%, upper lower class 12.5%). As the patients in the lower middle class stuck to the medications prescribed by the physician but the patients in the upper-middle-class population are well educated so they alter the continuation of the medication. Overall upper-middle-class patients as per the modified kuppusswamy classification of socioeconomic status, were more pharmacotherapeutic adherent in comparison to other classes. Kuppusswamy scale of socioeconomic status (which consists of the complete score of occupation, education, and total monthly income of the head of the family), because the upper-middle-class population is well educated and their attitude towards taking medication is good.

The identified causes of non-adherence to taking medications as prescribed were forgetfulness, carelessness when felt worse and when felt better while taking medications were found to be respectively in our study, which was in line with the study of Manjusa Sajith *et al.* (Pune) [7]. Possible causes for non-adherence to taking medications could be forgetfulness, nature of work and busy schedules, traveling away from home, long clinic wait time. A study identified bus work schedules, especially for patients in the working population as one of the reasons. Jemal A *et al.* (Eastern Ethiopia) [8], Mohammed Arifulla *et al.* (UAE) [19], Gelaw BK *et al.* (Ethiopia) [10], Tarig Mohammed El-Hadiyah *et al.* (Sudan) [11] reported forgetfulness was one of the commonly mentioned reasons for non-adherence, which could be overcome by using different

measures like informing family members to remind, and using the alarm. Intentional omission of doses was the next factor. Patients omit the doses of their medications simply because the medications run out of they go elsewhere from home. The other common factor causing non-adherence in this study was lack of finance. The most common reasons stated for the non-adherence to medications were modifiable factors that could be overcome by adopting suitable measures. In our study, according to Morisky's instrument, 77.83% were adherent, while 22.16% of patients were non-adherent among the total of 203 participants. Among Tuberculosis patients, 83.33% of the patients were adherent while 16.66% of the patients were non-adherent. Among Asthmatic patients, 34.78% were adherent while 65.21% were non-adherent.

#### LIMITATIONS OF STUDY

Data were obtained by a self-report questionnaire which may overestimate adherence. Data were specific to one geographical area of Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh. So further studies are recommended by using more accurate methods such as electronic measurement to assess medication adherence and further studies are recommended to see the outcome of medication adherence.

#### CONCLUSION

All the effort, time, and expenses are taken to diagnose the disease and prescribe medications are wasted if the patient does not adhere to the prescribed treatment regimen. Morisky's scale was adopted as a method of measuring adherence because of its simplicity and economic feasibility and as one of the most useful methods in clinical settings.

The adherence rate in Tuberculosis is 85.52% while in Bronchial asthma it's 34.78%.

Non-adherence to anti-TB treatment might lead to an increased risk of drug resistance and prolonged infectiousness, in addition to relapse and death.

Medication nonadherence in Bronchial Asthma was affected mainly by the duration of the disease. QOL (Quality of Life) impairment in Asthma is influenced by the presence of comorbidities, hospital admission, and frequent exacerbations. No association was found between nonadherence to treatment and QOL. Future studies should address the most cost-effective interventions to improve patient QOL and adherence to treatment.

Data were obtained by a self-report questionnaire which may overestimate adherence. So further studies are recommended by using more accurate methods such as electronic measurement to assess medication adherence and further studies are recommended to see the outcome of medication adherence.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I am very grateful to Ganesh Shankar Vidarthi Memorial Medical College (GSVMCC) and the associated hospital, Kanpur for providing Support, Guidance, and Necessities.

#### FUNDING

Nil

#### AUTHORS CONTRIBUTIONS

All the authors Dr. Virendra Kushwaha, Dr. Pooja Agrawal, Dr. Mangeshkumar Tripathi, Dr. Anand Kumar, Dr. Amit Kumar verma and Dr. Himanshu Sharma have equally made a substantial contribution in the conception, acquisition of data, interpretation of data and in drafting the article and agreed to be held accountable for all aspects of the work.

#### CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

Declared none

#### REFERENCES

1. World Health Organization. Adherence to long-term therapies: evidence for action (PDF). Geneva: World Health Organization; 2003.

2. Medicines concordance (involving patients in decisions about prescribed medicines). Archived from the original. 2008.
3. Bond WS, Hussar DA. Detection methods and strategies for improving medication compliance. *Am J Hosp Pharm.* 1991;48(9):1978-88. doi: 10.1093/ajhp/48.9.1978, PMID 1928147.
4. Tuberculosis (TB) WHO. Archived from the original; 2020.
5. India TB report; 2019. p. 33.
6. Smith MC. Predicting and detecting non-compliance. In: Smith MC, Wertheimer AI, editors *Social and behavioral aspects of pharmaceutical care*. New York, NY: Pharmaceutical Products Press, Inc; 1996.
7. Manjusha Sajith, Pankaj Madhu, Atmatam Pawar, Amit Modi, Ronak Sumariya. Medication adherence to antitubercular therapy in patients of tuberculosis. *Int J Pharm Sci.* 2014;6Suppl 2:564-70.
8. Jemal A, Abdela J, Sisay M. Adherence to anti-tubercular medication among tuberculosis patients in chronic ambulatory wards of hiwo. Vol. 8. Harar: Fan a Specialized University Hospital, Eastern Ethiopia: *J Tuberculosis*; 2017. p. 721.
9. Rao Chythra R, Kamath Veena G, Shetty Avinash, Kamath Asha. Treatment compliance among patients with hypertension and type 2 diabetes mellitus in a coastal population of Southern India. *Int J Prev Med.* 2014;5(8):992-8. PMID 25489447.
10. Gelaw Belayneh Kefale, Mohammed Abdela, Tegegne Gobezie Temesgen, Amsalu Degu Defersha Muluneh Fromsa, Tadesse Esayas. Non-adherence and contributing factors among ambulatory patients with antitubercular medications in adama referral hospital, Malyays. *J Med Sci.* 2014:9-18.
11. El-Haydiah Tarig Mohammed, Madani Mustafa Abdelhaleem, Hisham Mohammed Abdelrahim, Yo Abubakr Khidir. Affecting medication non-adherence in tuberculosis. *Scientific Research Publishing.* 2016 April;7:40-3.
12. Kamran A, Sadeghieh Ahari S, Biria M, Malepour A, Heydari H. Determinants of patient's adherence to hypertension medications: application of health belief model among rural patients. *Ann Med Health Sci Res.* 2014;4(6):922-7. doi: 10.4103/2141-9248.144914, PMID 25506487.
13. Elosus Aymen, Radwan Mahmoud, Al-Shariff Hasnaa, Abu Mustafa Ayman. Medications adherence and associated factors among patients with tuberculosis in gaza strip, palestine. *Front Endocrinol (Lauanne).* 2017;8.
14. Abebaw Mastewal, Messele Anteneh, Hailu Mignote, Zewdu Fisseha. Adherence and associated factors towards antitubercular medication among tuberculosis patients on follow-up at university of Gondhar Hospital, Northwest, Ethiopia. *Volume Adv Nurs.* 2016:7.
15. Vincent Adebawale Dele Ademola, Francis Agyekum, Nwafor, Helen Cole. Factors associated with medication non-adherence among tuberculosis patients in Ghana and Nigeria. *International Journal.* 2015;2915:8.
16. Gimenes Heloisa Turcato, Lucia Zanetti Maria, Haas Vanderlei Jose. Factors related to patient adherence to antitubercular medication therapy. *Rev Latino-Americana Enferagem.* February 2009;17.
17. Heissam Khaled, Abuamer Zeinab, El-Dahshan Nahed. Patterns and obstacles to oral antidiabetic medications adherence among type 2 diabetics in Ismailia, Egypt: a cross section study. *Pan Afr Med J.* 2015;20:177. doi: 10.11604/pamj.2015.20.177.4025. PMID 26113919.
18. Alsolami Alsolami, Correa Velez Ignacio, Xiang Yu Hou. Factors affecting antitubercular medications adherence among tuberculosis patients in Saudi Arabia. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2015;5(4):181-9.
19. Mohammed Arifulla, Jenny John Lisha, Jayadevan Sreedharan, Jayakumary Muttaapallymyalil, Basha Sheikh Altaf. Patients adherence to antitubercular medication in hospital at Ajman UAE. *Malyays J Sci.* 2014;21(1):44-9.